



Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring Organizations - Libya

27 organizations responded to the survey (**75%**)

The organization you work for is a:



Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring Organizations - Libya

Overall Performance

Score

Performance status

>75 %

Good

51 % - 75 %

Satisfactory

26 % - 50 %

Unsatisfactory

<26 %

Weak

1 Supporting service delivery

1.1 Provide a platform to ensure that service delivery is driven by the agreed strategic priorities

Satisfactory

1.2 Developing mechanisms that eliminate duplication

Satisfactory

2 Informing strategy decision-making of the Humanitarian Coordinator/Humanitarian Country Team

2.1 Needs assessment and gap analysis

Satisfactory

2.2 Analysis to identify and address (emerging) gaps, obstacles, duplication, and cross-cutting issues*

Satisfactory

2.3 Prioritizing on the basis of response analysis

Satisfactory

3 Planning and strategy development

3.1 Developing sectoral plans, objectives and indicators that directly support HC/HCT strategic priorities*

Satisfactory

3.2 Adherence to and application of standards and guidelines

Satisfactory

3.3 Clarifying funding needs, prioritization, and cluster contributions to HC funding needs

Good

4 Advocacy

4.1 Identifying advocacy concerns that contribute to HC and HCT messaging and action

Good

4.2 Undertaking advocacy activities on behalf of cluster participants and affected people

Satisfactory

5 Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results

Good


6 Preparedness for recurrent disasters

Satisfactory


7 Accountability to affected population

Satisfactory


The organization you work for is a:




Are you satisfied with the frequency of cluster meetings?




How frequently has your organization participated in cluster meetings?




Did the staff who represented your organization at cluster meetings have decision-making authority and were they able to follow-up on decisions made?




Could your organization participate fully in cluster meetings?
(For example, were meetings in accessible locations?
Could participants speak in a range of languages?)



Have cluster meetings been able to identify and discuss needs, gaps and response priorities?




Has the cluster taken strategic decisions about the direction of the humanitarian response?




Has your cluster regularly mapped what partners are doing and where they are working (via 3W and similar mechanisms)? Has your organization contributed?




Has your organization contributed to analyses by the cluster of gaps and overlaps (capacity and complementarity), derived from this mapping?




Has your organization taken decisions based on the cluster's analysis of gaps and overlaps (capacity and complementarity), derived from this mapping?




Has your organization used sectoral needs assessment tools and guidance agreed by cluster's partners?




Has your organization been involved in coordinated sectoral needs assessment and surveys?




Has your organization shared reports of its surveys and assessments with the cluster?




Has your organization done situation analyses together with cluster partners?




Has your organization helped to develop a cluster strategic plan?




Has the cluster strategic plan guided the response of your organization in the last 6 months?




Have cluster partners agreed technical standards and guidance and has your organization applied them?




Have cluster partners participated in prioritizing proposals under the strategic plan. Were transparent criteria agreed?



Were proposals prioritized against the strategic plan in a manner that was fair to all partners?




How often has the cluster coordinator reported on the cluster’s funding status against needs?




Have issues requiring advocacy been identified
and discussed together with your organization?



Has your organization participated in cluster advocacy activities?




Have cluster bulletins or updates highlighted risks, gaps and changing needs, and has this information influenced your organization's decisions?




Has your organization used programme monitoring and reporting formats agreed by the cluster?




Has your cluster taken account of the distinct needs, contributions and capacities of women, girls, men and boys in its response and monitoring?



Has your organization helped to develop or update preparedness plans (including multisectoral ones) that address hazards and risks?




Has your organization committed staff or resources that can be mobilized when preparedness plans are activated?



Have cluster partners agreed mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) for consulting and involving affected people in decision-making? Has your organization applied them?



Have cluster partners agreed mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) to receive, investigate and act on complaints by affected people? Does your organization apply these mechanisms?



Please enter below other information you think
are important to reflect the work of the cluster:

Excellent information sharing by coordination unit, also highlighting
emerging key issues and challenges for the health response

Common and joint guidelines for the health sector for
Libya still need to be developed, involve the partners and agree to
have harmonized approach ideally.

Regular updates on funding status was provided,
it was more challenging to identified need not yet covered

The meetings organized face-to-face in Tripoli are unfortunately not accessible to
the organizations present only in the East, notably because of difficulties
in obtaining visas for expatriates. Proposal made to invite the participants who cannot come
through video meetings in order to have all the partners wishing to participate and coordinate.

Useful to get regular key messages
to the HCT, even at the risk of being repetitive

Continue to jointly work towards a better understanding of the actual gaps not yet covered

I know that there are several working groups busy working, but I do miss discussions
that would be more strategic and less punctual. I would think (in general for
the cluster mechanism and not limited to LY) that it
would be time to move from coordination to collaboration...



THANK YOU