

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

Somalia (Somalia National)

Level : National


Completed on: 26 August - 2020

Final report

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

Overall response rate

(Based on the number of organizations that are part of the cluster)



Total	
25 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
159	39

International NGOs



Total	
27 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
49	13

National NGOs



Total	
20 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
95	19

UN Agencies



Total	
25 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
4	1

National Authorities



Total	
200 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
1	2

Donors



Total	
17 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
6	1

Other




Total	
75 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
4	3

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

Effective response rate

(Based on the average number of organizations participating to cluster meetings)



Total	
71 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
55	39

International NGOs



Total	
260 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
5	13

National NGOs



Total	
42 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
45	19

UN Agencies



Total	
33 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
3	1

National Authorities



Total	
200 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
1	2

Donors



Total	
100 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
1	1

Other

Total	
0 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
0	3

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

Overall Performance

Score	Performance status
> 75 %	
51 % - 75 %	Good
26 % - 50 %	Satisfactory
< 26 %	Unsatisfactory
	Weak

1	Supporting service delivery	
1.1	Provide a platform to ensure that service delivery is driven by the agreed strategic priorities	Good
1.2	Developing mechanisms that eliminate duplication of service delivery	Satisfactory
	Informing strategic decision-making of the Humanitarian Coordinator/Humanitarian Country Team	
2		
2.1	Needs assessment and gap analysis	Satisfactory
2.2	Analysis to identify and address (emerging) gaps, obstacles, duplication, and cross-cutting issues	Unsatisfactory
2.3	Prioritizing on the basis of response analysis	Satisfactory
3	Planning and strategy development	
3.1	Developing sectoral plans, objectives and indicators that directly support HC/HCT strategic priorities	Satisfactory
3.2	Adherence to and application of standards and guidelines	Satisfactory
3.3	Clarifying funding needs, prioritization, and cluster contributions to HC funding needs	Satisfactory
4	Advocacy	
4.1	Identifying advocacy concerns that contribute to HC and HCT messaging and action	Satisfactory
4.2	Undertaking advocacy activities on behalf of cluster participants and affected people	Satisfactory
5	Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results	Good
6	Preparedness for recurrent disasters	Satisfactory
7	Accountability to affected populations	Satisfactory

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

Performance per function and review

1 Supporting service delivery

1.1 Provide a platform to ensure that service delivery is driven by the agreed strategic priorities Good

List of partners regularly updated	50%
Adequate frequency of cluster meetings	100%
Attendance of cluster partners to cluster meetings	100%
Level of decision making power of staff attending cluster meetings	100%
Conditions for optimal participation of national and international stakeholders	100%
Writing of minutes of cluster meetings with action points	100%
Usefulness of cluster meetings for discussing needs, gaps and priorities	100%
Useful strategic decision taken within the cluster	75%
Attendance of cluster coordinator to HCT and ICC meetings	75%
Support/engagement of cluster with national coordination mechanisms	100%

Indicative characteristics of functions	Established, relevant coordination mechanism recognising national systems, subnational and co-lead aspects; stakeholders participating regularly and effectively; cluster coordinator active in inter-cluster and related meetings.
---	---

Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified

Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

1.2	Develop mechanisms to eliminate duplication of service delivery	Satisfactory
	Mapping of partner geographic presence and programme activities updated as needed	75%
	Inputs of health partners into mapping of partner geographic presence and programme activities	75%
	Involvement of partners into analysis of gaps and overlaps based on mapping	75%
	Analysis of gaps and overlaps based on mapping used by partners for decision-making	75%
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Cluster partner engagement in dynamic mapping of presence and capacity (4W); information sharing across clusters in line with joint Strategic Objectives.
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

2 Informing strategic decision-making of the Humanitarian Coordinator/Humanitarian Country Team

2.1	Needs assessment and gap analysis	Satisfactory
	Use of cluster agreed tools and guidance for needs assessments	75%
	Involvement of partners in joint needs assessments	62%
	Sharing by partners of their assessment reports	50%
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Use of assessment tools in accordance with agreed minimum standards, individual assessment / survey results shared and/or carried out jointly as appropriate.
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

2.2	Analysis to identify and address (emerging) gaps, obstacles, duplication, and cross-cutting issues	Unsatisfactory
	Analyses of situations done together with cluster partners	100%
	Analyses of situations identified risk	75%
	Analyses of situations identified needs	100%
	Analyses of situations identified gaps in response	75%
	Analyses of situations identified capacity in response	75%
	Analyses of situations identified constraints to respond	75%
	Age (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	100%
	Gender (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	100%
	Diversity – other than age and gender- (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	75%
	Human rights (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	100%
	Protection, including gender-based violence (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	100%
	Environment (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	75%
	HIV/AIDS (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	75%
	Disability (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	75%
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Joint analysis for current and anticipated risks, needs, gaps and constraints; cross cutting issues addressed from outset.
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

2.3	Prioritizing on the basis of response analysis	Satisfactory
	Joint analyses supporting response planning	75%
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Joint analysis supporting response planning and prioritisation in short and medium term.
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

3 Planning and strategy development

3.1 Developing sectoral plans, objectives and indicators that directly support HC/HCT strategic priorities Satisfactory

Strategic plan developed	0%
Partners involved in the development of strategic plan	75%
Sectoral strategic plan includes objectives, activities and indicators	0%
Sectoral strategic plan reviewed against host government strategy	0%
Age (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	0%
Gender (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	0%
Diversity – other than age and gender- (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	0%
Human rights (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	0%
Protection, including gender-based violence (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	0%
Environment (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	0%
HIV/AIDS (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	0%
Disability (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	0%
Strategic plan shows synergies with other sectors	0%
Strategic plan used by partners for guiding response	75%
Deactivation criteria and phasing out strategy formulated together with partners	0%

Indicative characteristics of functions	Strategic plan based on identified priorities, shows synergies with other sectors against strategic objectives, addresses cross cutting issues, incorporates exit strategy discussion and is developed jointly with partners. Plan is updated regularly and guides response.
---	--

Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified

Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

3.2	Adherence to and application of standards and guidelines		Satisfactory
National and international standards and guidance identified and adapted as required		50%	
Technical standards and guidance agreed upon and used by partners		75%	
Indicative characteristics of functions	Use of existing national standards and guidelines where possible. Standards and guidance are agreed to, adhered to and reported against.		
Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified			
Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)			

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

3.3 Clarifying funding needs, prioritization, and cluster contributions to HC funding needs Satisfactory

Prioritization of proposals against the strategic plan jointly determined with partners based on agreed transparent criteria	75%
--	-----

Prioritization of proposals against strategic plan fair to all partners	75%
---	-----

Cluster supported and facilitated access to funding sources by partners	75%
---	-----

Regular reporting on funding status	75%
-------------------------------------	-----

Indicative characteristics of functions	Funding requirements determined with partners, allocation under jointly agreed criteria and prioritisation, status tracked and information shared.
---	--

Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified

Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

4 Advocacy

4.1	Identifying advocacy concerns that contribute to HC and HCT messaging and action	Satisfactory
	Issues requiring advocacy identified and discussed together with partners	75%
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Concerns for advocacy identified with partners, including gaps, access, resource needs.
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

4.2	Undertaking advocacy activities on behalf of cluster participants and affected people	Satisfactory
	Advocacy activities agreed upon and undertaken with partners	75%
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Common advocacy campaign agreed and delivered across partners.
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

5 Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results

Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results

Good

Programme monitoring formats agreed upon and used by cluster partners	75%
Information shared by partners reflected in cluster reports	75%
Regular publication of progress reports based on agreed indicators for monitoring humanitarian response	100%
Regular publication of cluster bulletins	75%
Changes in needs, risk and gaps highlighted in cluster reports and used for decision-making by partners	75%
Response and monitoring of the cluster taking into account the needs, contributions and capacities of women, girls, men and boys	75%
Indicative characteristics of functions	Use of monitoring tools in accordance with agreed minimum standards, regular report sharing, progress mapped against agreed strategic plan, any necessary corrections identified.
Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

6 Preparedness for recurrent disasters

Preparedness for recurrent disasters

Satisfactory

National contingency plans identified and shared		100%
Partners contributed to initial or updated risk assessments and analysis		50%
Partners involved in development of preparedness plan		75%
Partners committed staff and/or resources towards preparedness plan		100%
Early warning reports shared with partners		75%
Indicative characteristics of functions	National contingency plans identified and shared; risk assessment and analysis carried out, multisectoral where appropriate; readiness status enhanced; regular distribution of early warning reports.	
Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified		
Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)		

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

7 Accountability to affected populations

Accountability to affected populations		Satisfactory
Mechanisms to consult and involve population in decision-making agreed upon and applied by partners		75%
Mechanisms to receive, investigate and act upon complaints about assistance received agreed upon and applied by partners		75%
Indicative characteristics of functions	Accountability to affected population; agencies have investigated and, as appropriate, acted upon feedback received about the assistance provided.	
Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified		
Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)		

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

Answer distributions and comments

0 General

Comments

one thing I have observed during cluster meeting partners don't send the right people on times of actively participation is not functional as other clusters.

-

SFH is an active cluster member operating in Jubaland state. Emergency primary health care in Lower Juba (Afmadow and Badhade), Fixed level 2 health center in Kismayo (Bulagaduud).

Our organization is American Refugees Committees(ARC) and works Somalia/Somaliland. The sector secor works in nine districts of 4 regions namely: Banadir, Middle Shabelle, Lower Jubba and Sool regions.

Intersos has regional health cluster focal for more than 5 years

active partner of Health cluster

The coordination of cluster we have been attending for longtime up-to-date we are active participant both national level and international level of the cluster

Somali Community Concern (SCC) is a national voluntary, non-governmental, non-political, non-partisan and nonprofit making organization operating in the field of emergency response and developmental programs answering to the community's basic and social services. Established in Mogadishu in 2003, SCC has carried out successful projects that have been translated into reality. Thus far the organization has implemented 24 successful projects that have revived the hopes of many desperate women, children, IDPs, and poor communities through the provision of food assistance, shelter, WASH, Health, protection, education, peacebuilding and capacity building.

My organization is an active health cluster member in Somalia.

Regional sub cluster are active for long time as far as i know. Therefore, should be re-activated.

HIDIG is a strong national health partner in Bay,Bakool and Hiran region

Lifeline gedo

I would like to take part humanitarian process

Ministry of Health

World health Organization is cluster lead agency

N/A

Nothing

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

1 Supporting service delivery

1.1 Provide a platform to ensure that service delivery is driven by the agreed strategic priorities

1.1.1 List of partners regularly updated

Coordinator

Has the list of cluster partners (including members and observers) been updated as needed?

The list has been updated less often than needed

1.1.2 Adequate frequency of cluster meetings


Coordinator

Are you satisfied with the frequency of cluster meetings?

Completely satisfied

Partners

Has the list of cluster partners (including members and observers) been updated as needed?



1.1.3 Attendance of cluster partners to cluster meetings


Coordinator

Have members and observers attended cluster meetings?

Most attended, but few major actors attended

Partners


Are you satisfied with the frequency of cluster meetings?



1.1.4 Level of decision making power of staff attending cluster meetings

Partners

Have minutes been taken at cluster meetings, with action points?




1.1.5 Conditions for optimal participation of national and international stakeholders

Coordinator

Could members and observers participate fully in cluster meetings? (For example, did meetings occur in accessible locations? Were participants able to speak in a range of languages?).
It was easy to attend/participate in cluster meetings

Partners

Have members and observers attended cluster meetings?



1.1.6 Writing of minutes of cluster meetings with action points

Coordinator

Have minutes been taken at cluster meetings, with action points?
Minutes with action points have been taken at most meetings

1.1.7 Usefulness of cluster meetings for discussing needs, gaps and priorities


Coordinator

Have cluster meetings been useful in helping partners to discuss needs, gaps and priorities?

They have generally been useful

Partners

Have cluster meetings been useful in helping partners to discuss needs, gaps and priorities?



1.1.8 Useful strategic decision taken within the cluster

Coordinator

Has the cluster taken strategic decisions about the direction of the humanitarian response?

Strategic decisions were taken and they were mostly useful

Partners

Have you regularly attended humanitarian inter-sectoral coordination meetings, such as inter-cluster coordination meetings or country team meetings?



1.1.9 Attendance of cluster coordinator to HCT and ICC meetings

Coordinator

Have you regularly attended humanitarian inter-sectoral coordination meetings, such as inter-cluster coordination meetings or country team meetings?

I have often attended meetings

1.1.10 Support/engagement of cluster with national coordination mechanisms

Coordinator

Has the cluster supported or engaged with coordination mechanisms of national authorities in its sector?

Cluster partners are fully engaged under national coordination

1.2 Develop mechanisms to eliminate duplication of service delivery

1.2.1 Mapping of partner geographic presence and programme activities updated as needed

Coordinator

Has the cluster regularly mapped what partners are doing and where they are working (via 3W and similar mechanisms)?

Mapping was done and usually updated as often as required

1.2.2 Inputs of health partners into mapping of partner geographic presence and programme activities

Coordinator

How many partners have helped to map programme activities and their geographical presence?

Most

Partners

Has the cluster regularly mapped what partners are doing and where they are working (via 3W and similar mechanisms)?



1.2.3 Involvement of partners into analysis of gaps and overlaps based on mapping

Partners

How many partners have helped to map programme activities and their geographical presence?



1.2.4 Analysis of gaps and overlaps based on mapping used by partners for decision-making


Coordinator

Has the cluster used information on programme activities and partners' geographical presence to analyse capacity and complementarity (gaps and overlaps). Has that information influenced cluster partners' decisions?

Analysis has been done and has been used by a few partners for decision making

Partners

Has the cluster used information on programme activities and partners' geographical presence to analyse capacity and complementarity (gaps and overlaps). Has that information influenced cluster partners' decisions?



Comments

when analysis and response of proposed gap comes we don't get invitation I don't know the reason

-

Cluster is the centre of decision making base for our organization as well as others

although some of the areas was not access during cluster mapping service, and information of cluster are usefully for the implementation for log NGOs and international during implementation of projects.

since in 2015 we are in the waiting list SHF partners

Increase support to service delivery

World health Organization is cluster lead agency

N/A

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

2 Informing strategic decision-making of the Humanitarian Coordinator/Humanitarian Country Team

2.1 Needs assessment and gap analysis

2.1.1 Use of cluster agreed tools and guidance for needs assessments

Coordinator

Have cluster partners used jointly agreed sectoral needs assessment tools and guidance?

The cluster has agreed tools and guidance and some partners have used them

Partners

Have cluster partners used jointly agreed sectoral needs assessment tools and guidance?



2.1.2 Involvement of partners in joint needs assessments


Coordinator

Have cluster partners been involved in coordinated sectoral needs assessments and surveys?

Partners have been involved in some coordinated assessments

Partners

Have cluster partners been involved in coordinated sectoral needs assessments and surveys?



2.1.3 Sharing by partners of their assessment reports


Coordinator

Have cluster partners shared their own surveys and assessments with the cluster?

Survey and assessment reports have been shared by a few partners

Partners

Have cluster partners shared their own surveys and assessments with the cluster?



2.2 Analysis to identify and address (emerging) gaps, obstacles, duplication, and cross-cutting issues

2.2.1 Analyses of situations done together with cluster partners


Coordinator

Have you done situation analyses together with cluster partners?

Yes

Partners

Have you done situation analyses together with cluster partners?



2.2.2 Analyses of situations identified risk


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

Mostly identified

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?



2.2.3 Analyses of situations identified needs


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

Mostly identified

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?



2.2.4 Analyses of situations identified gaps in response


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

Mostly identified

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?



2.2.5 Analyses of situations identified capacity in response


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

Mostly identified

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?



2.2.6 Analyses of situations identified constraints to respond


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

Mostly identified

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?



2.2.7 Age (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

Mostly considered

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.8 Gender (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

Mostly considered

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.9 Diversity – other than age and gender- (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

Not considered

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.10 Human rights (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

Mostly considered

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.11 Protection, including gender-based violence (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

Mostly considered

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.12 Environment (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

Mostly considered

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.13 HIV/AIDS (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

Mostly considered

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.14 Disability (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

Mostly considered

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?




2.3 Prioritizing on the basis of response analysis

2.3.1 Joint analyses supporting response planning

Partners

Have these analyses supported response planning and prioritization?



Comments

The Custer should involve partners in the gap analysis

we are useful to the cluster information during implementation of the projects to avoid overleaping

I work for a donor organization that doesn't do analyses work directly

There are many humanitarian issues in South and Central Somalia.

N/A

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

3 Planning and strategy development

3.1 Developing sectoral plans, objectives and indicators that directly support HC/HCT strategic priorities

3.1.1 Strategic plan developed

Coordinator


Has a cluster strategic plan been developed?

Not applicable

3.1.2 Partners involved in the development of strategic plan

Partners

Has a cluster strategic plan been developed?



3.1.3 Sectoral strategic plan includes objectives, activities and indicators

3.1.4 Sectoral strategic plan reviewed against host government strategy

3.1.5 Age (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan

3.1.6 Gender (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan


3.1.7 Diversity – other than age and gender- (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan

3.1.8 Human rights (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan

3.1.9 Protection, including gender-based violence (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan

3.1.10 Environment (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**3.1.11 HIV/AIDS (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan****3.1.12 Disability (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan****3.1.13 Strategic plan shows synergies with other sectors****3.1.14 Strategic plan used by partners for guiding response**

Partners

Does the cluster's strategic plan include objectives, activities and indicators?**3.1.15 Deactivation criteria and phasing out strategy formulated together with partners**

Coordinator

Have partners helped to identify deactivation criteria and a phase out strategy for the cluster?*Deactivation criteria and a phase-out strategy have not been identified or discussed with partners***3.2 Adherence to and application of standards and guidelines****3.2.1 National and international standards and guidance identified and adapted as required**

Coordinator

Have national and international standards and guidance been identified, adapted in consultation with national authorities (when necessary), and shared with partners?*Standards and guidance have been identified and shared but have not been adapted*

3.2.2 Technical standards and guidance agreed upon and used by partners


Coordinator

Have technical standards and guidance been agreed and have partners used them?

Technical standards and guidance have been agreed and some partners have used them

Partners

Have national and international standards and guidance been identified, adapted in consultation with national authorities (when necessary), and shared with partners?



3.3 Clarifying funding needs, prioritization, and cluster contributions to HC funding needs


Coordinator

Have cluster partners participated in prioritizing proposals against the strategic plan? Were transparent criteria agreed?

Transparent criteria were agreed and partners were fully involved in prioritizing proposals

Partners

Have cluster partners participated in prioritizing proposals against the strategic plan? Were transparent criteria agreed?



3.3.2 Prioritization of proposals against strategic plan fair to all partners


Coordinator

Were proposals prioritized against the strategic plan in a manner that was fair to all partners?

Proposals were prioritized in a manner that was fair to all partners

Partners

Were proposals prioritized against the strategic plan in a manner that was fair to all partners?



3.3.3 Cluster supported and facilitated access to funding sources by partners

Coordinator

Has the cluster assisted partners to access funds (for example by including their proposals in appeals or applications to the Emergency Response Fund or Common Humanitarian Fund)?

The cluster has given partners good support

3.3.4 Regular reporting on funding status


Coordinator

How often have you reported on the funding status of the cluster against needs?*

As often as needed

Partners

Has the cluster assisted partners to access funds (for example by including their proposals in appeals or applications to the Emergency Response Fund or Common Humanitarian Fund)?



Comments

-
- n/a
- cluster prioritize regional needs.
- N/A

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

4 Advocacy

4.1 Identifying advocacy concerns that contribute to HC and HCT messaging and action

4.1.1 Issues requiring advocacy identified and discussed together with partners


Coordinator

Has the cluster identified issues requiring advocacy and discussed them with partners?

The cluster has identified advocacy issues in consultation with most partners

Partners

Has the cluster identified issues requiring advocacy and discussed them with partners?



4.2 Undertaking advocacy activities on behalf of cluster participants and affected people

4.2.1 Advocacy activities agreed upon and undertaken with partners


Coordinator

Have advocacy activities been agreed and undertaken together with partners?

No advocacy activities have been agreed

Partners

Have advocacy activities been agreed and undertaken together with partners?



Comments

advocacy is needed for the people in need that we always hide their situation.

-

Partner are not aware of the ongoing advocay

No at the moment

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

5 Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results

5.1 Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results

5.1.1 Programme monitoring formats agreed upon and used by cluster partners

Coordinator

Have partners used programme monitoring and reporting formats that cluster partners have agreed?

Standards have been agreed and some partners have reported regularly

Partners

Is the information that partners send reflected in cluster bulletins and updates?



5.1.2 Information shared by partners reflected in cluster reports

Coordinator

Is the information that partners send reflected in cluster bulletins and updates?

Information has been shared and has been taken into account to a large extent

5.1.3 Regular publication of progress reports based on agreed indicators for monitoring humanitarian response

Coordinator

Has progress on programmes or the strategic plan been reported using agreed indicators for monitoring the humanitarian response? *

As often as needed

5.1.4 Regular publication of cluster bulletins

Coordinator

Have cluster bulletins or updates been published?

Almost as often as needed

5.1.5 Changes in needs, risk and gaps highlighted in cluster reports and used for decision-making by partners


Coordinator

Have cluster bulletins or updates highlighted risks, gaps and changing needs, and has this information influenced decisions?

Changes in needs, risks and gaps have been highlighted and have often been used for decision-making

Partners

Have partners used programme monitoring and reporting formats that cluster partners have agreed?




Coordinator

Has your cluster taken into account the distinct needs, contributions and capacities of women, girls, men and boys, in its response and monitoring?*

Partially

Partners

Has progress on programmes or the strategic plan been reported using agreed indicators for monitoring the humanitarian response? *



Comments

we always realize funds to fund people in need but it doest reach at all level.

-

mostly we are using M&E tool after the end of the projects to evaluate what we have done and what we achieve.

Monthly 3W matrix report and surveillance reports

Currently no

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

6 Preparedness for recurrent disasters

6.1 Preparedness for recurrent disasters

6.1.1 National contingency plans identified and shared

Coordinator

Have national preparedness or contingency plans (sectoral or multi-sectoral) been identified and shared?

A national plan has been identified and the cluster has discussed it

6.1.2 Partners contributed to initial or updated risk assessments and analysis

Coordinator

Have cluster partners contributed to initial risk assessments and analysis (including multi sectoral), or updates?

Risk assessment has been done and some partners have participated

6.1.3 Partners involved in development of preparedness plan


Coordinator

Have cluster partners helped to develop or update preparedness plans (including multisectoral ones) that address hazards and risks?

Preparedness plans have been written/updated and some partners have participated

Partners

Have national preparedness or contingency plans (sectoral or multi-sectoral) been identified and shared?



6.1.4 Partners committed staff and/or resources towards preparedness plan


Coordinator

Have cluster partners committed staff or resources that can be mobilized when preparedness plans are activated? Please choose only one of the following:

Do not know

Partners

Have cluster partners contributed to initial risk assessments and analysis (including multi sectoral), or updates?



6.1.5 Early warning reports shared with partners

Coordinator

Have you regularly shared and discussed early warning reports with cluster partners?

Early warning reports have often been shared

Comments

always staff dealing with issue is not functional

-

My organization's is ready to participate the response plan

yet we did not attend preparedness mobilized

Capacity building training disaster preparedness is needed.

No

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

7 Accountability to affected populations

7.1 Accountability to affected populations

7.1.1 Mechanisms to consult and involve population in decision-making agreed upon and applied by partners

Coordinator

Have cluster partners agreed and applied mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) for consulting and involving affected people in decision-making?*

Mechanisms for consulting/involving affected people have been agreed and some partners have applied them

Partners

Have cluster partners agreed and applied mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) for consulting and involving affected people in decision-making?*




Coordinator

Have cluster partners agreed and applied mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) to receive, investigate and act on complaints about assistance received?*

No investigation/complaint mechanism has been agreed

Partners

Have cluster partners agreed and applied mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) to receive, investigate and act on complaints about assistance received?*



Comments

yes, cluster usually agree mechanism but maintaining is the factor

-

Accountability to affected poeple is applied from the project planning

Cluster team are accountable and links all organizations, so we trusted

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

8 Others

Comments

i could suggest the mental health problems which is big concern should in cluster

1. cluster we request to be a separate person or some works alone cluster chair. 2. cluster should get capacity buildings 3. cluster coordinators should sub national cluster recommendations.

N/A

-Strengthened involvement of all partners during the planning, designing and endorsing guidelines, strategic objectives, preparedness plan, multisectoral assessment and surveys is vital. -Enhanced coordination of state level sub-cluster meetings and uptake of its recommendations and actions like that of Mogadishu is also significant. -Participation of advocacy strategies by all partners is equally important and can bear fruit.

Fundraising and proposal development should be free and fair for all organizations

Active cluster members with technical staff with experiences need to be involved in all activities

The cluster is always issue the gaps and identify uniform contribution to the partners.

No

None

The cluster coordinator and his team are doing helpful coordinations which helps to save lives of many vulnerable communities in Somalia and health cluster enhances linkages between needed communities and humanitarian actors in Somalia through a well established and organised mechanism,tools,reporting formats and procedures. Somali Diabetes Management and information center (SDMI)

Health cluster assessment and information management team to be fully operationalized because it will paly a vital role in assessments validation and information management.

in mostly needed capacity building training for cluster members.

Cluster should establish monitoring and Feedback mechanistic strategy

E-Mail: scc.somalia@hotmail.com , scc.somalia@gmail.com, scc.somalia@yahoo.com, info@sccsomalia.org, info@scc.org.so Website: <http://www.sccsomalia.org>, www.scc.org.so Mogadishu – Somalia

1.Adequate involvement cluster members in planning and coordination of the cluster activities. Practical support for partners to address gaps.

Cluster should strengthen regional sub clusters and regional sub-cluster meetings should be regularly conducted.

as much us the cluster is trying its best it needs the following:- 1. capacity building for cluster members 2. blat form for sharing donor information on the basis of available funding

Cluster needs to focus more on the actual concerns raised at sub cluster level and ensure where gap exist is adequately addressed ,however, most of the concerns raised within the sub cluster level are rarely acted upon by the national cluster

to strength the relation between between the cluster and the the humanitarian agencies

To make more training for the cluster staffs

World health Organization is lead agency of cluster

Thanks

according to cluster stakeholders (when it comes to Cluster issue, it seems that the # of Clusters sectors being exist are too much included wash ,Nutrition ,GBV and health , it's much better to identify which cluster the evolution made is concern)

N/A

Not applicable

The cluster Co-chair would like to share with us any important issues