

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

Niger

Level : National


Completed on: 26 August - 2020

Final report

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

Overall response rate

(Based on the number of organizations that are part of the cluster)



Total	
31 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
51	16

International NGOs



Total	
40 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
25	10

National NGOs



Total	
0 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
2	0

UN Agencies



Total	
50 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
6	3

National Authorities



Total	
0 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
3	0

Donors



Total	
14 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
7	1

Other




Total	
25 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
8	2

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

Effective response rate

(Based on the average number of organizations participating to cluster meetings)



Total	
76 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
21	16

International NGOs



Total	
167 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
6	10

National NGOs



Total	
0 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
2	0

UN Agencies



Total	
50 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
6	3

National Authorities



Total	
0 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
1	0

Donors



Total	
50 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
2	1

Other



Total	
50 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
4	2

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

Overall Performance

Score	Performance status
> 75 %	
51 % - 75 %	Good
26 % - 50 %	Satisfactory
< 26 %	Unsatisfactory
	Weak

1	Supporting service delivery	
1.1	Provide a platform to ensure that service delivery is driven by the agreed strategic priorities	Satisfactory
1.2	Developing mechanisms that eliminate duplication of service delivery	Satisfactory
	Informing strategic decision-making of the Humanitarian Coordinator/Humanitarian Country Team	
2		
2.1	Needs assessment and gap analysis	Satisfactory
2.2	Analysis to identify and address (emerging) gaps, obstacles, duplication, and cross-cutting issues	Satisfactory
2.3	Prioritizing on the basis of response analysis	Satisfactory
3	Planning and strategy development	
3.1	Developing sectoral plans, objectives and indicators that directly support HC/HCT strategic priorities	Satisfactory
3.2	Adherence to and application of standards and guidelines	Satisfactory
3.3	Clarifying funding needs, prioritization, and cluster contributions to HC funding needs	Satisfactory
4	Advocacy	
4.1	Identifying advocacy concerns that contribute to HC and HCT messaging and action	Satisfactory
4.2	Undertaking advocacy activities on behalf of cluster participants and affected people	Good
5	Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results	Unsatisfactory
6	Preparedness for recurrent disasters	Satisfactory
7	Accountability to affected populations	Satisfactory

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

Performance per function and review

1 Supporting service delivery

1.1 Provide a platform to ensure that service delivery is driven by the agreed strategic priorities Satisfactory

List of partners regularly updated	75%
Adequate frequency of cluster meetings	75%
Attendance of cluster partners to cluster meetings	75%
Level of decision making power of staff attending cluster meetings	50%
Conditions for optimal participation of national and international stakeholders	75%
Writing of minutes of cluster meetings with action points	100%
Usefulness of cluster meetings for discussing needs, gaps and priorities	75%
Useful strategic decision taken within the cluster	62%
Attendance of cluster coordinator to HCT and ICC meetings	75%
Support/engagement of cluster with national coordination mechanisms	75%

Indicative characteristics of functions	Established, relevant coordination mechanism recognising national systems, subnational and co-lead aspects; stakeholders participating regularly and effectively; cluster coordinator active in inter-cluster and related meetings.
---	---

Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified

Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

1.2	Develop mechanisms to eliminate duplication of service delivery	Satisfactory
<hr/>		
	Mapping of partner geographic presence and programme activities updated as needed	50%
	Inputs of health partners into mapping of partner geographic presence and programme activities	100%
	Involvement of partners into analysis of gaps and overlaps based on mapping	75%
	Analysis of gaps and overlaps based on mapping used by partners for decision-making	50%
<hr/>		
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Cluster partner engagement in dynamic mapping of presence and capacity (4W); information sharing across clusters in line with joint Strategic Objectives.
<hr/>		
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
<hr/>		
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

2 Informing strategic decision-making of the Humanitarian Coordinator/Humanitarian Country Team

2.1	Needs assessment and gap analysis	Satisfactory
<hr/>		
	Use of cluster agreed tools and guidance for needs assessments	75%
	Involvement of partners in joint needs assessments	50%
	Sharing by partners of their assessment reports	50%
<hr/>		
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Use of assessment tools in accordance with agreed minimum standards, individual assessment / survey results shared and/or carried out jointly as appropriate.
<hr/>		
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
<hr/>		
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

2.2 Analysis to identify and address (emerging) gaps, obstacles, duplication, and cross-cutting issues Satisfactory

Analyses of situations done together with cluster partners	100%
Analyses of situations identified risk	75%
Analyses of situations identified needs	75%
Analyses of situations identified gaps in response	75%
Analyses of situations identified capacity in response	75%
Analyses of situations identified constraints to respond	75%
Age (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	87%
Gender (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	75%
Diversity – other than age and gender- (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	75%
Human rights (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	75%
Protection, including gender-based violence (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	75%
Environment (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	75%
HIV/AIDS (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	75%
Disability (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	62%

Indicative characteristics of functions	Joint analysis for current and anticipated risks, needs, gaps and constraints; cross cutting issues addressed from outset.
---	--

Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified

Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

2.3	Prioritizing on the basis of response analysis	Satisfactory
<hr/>		
	Joint analyses supporting response planning	75%
<hr/>		
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Joint analysis supporting response planning and prioritisation in short and medium term.
<hr/>		
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
<hr/>		
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

3 Planning and strategy development

3.1 Developing sectoral plans, objectives and indicators that directly support HC/HCT strategic priorities Satisfactory

Strategic plan developed	100%
Partners involved in the development of strategic plan	75%
Sectoral strategic plan includes objectives, activities and indicators	100%
Sectoral strategic plan reviewed against host government strategy	100%
Age (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	75%
Gender (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	75%
Diversity – other than age and gender- (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	75%
Human rights (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	50%
Protection, including gender-based violence (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	75%
Environment (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	50%
HIV/AIDS (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	75%
Disability (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	50%
Strategic plan shows synergies with other sectors	75%
Strategic plan used by partners for guiding response	75%
Deactivation criteria and phasing out strategy formulated together with partners	50%

Indicative characteristics of functions	Strategic plan based on identified priorities, shows synergies with other sectors against strategic objectives, addresses cross cutting issues, incorporates exit strategy discussion and is developed jointly with partners. Plan is updated regularly and guides response.
---	--

Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified

Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

3.2	Adherence to and application of standards and guidelines		Satisfactory
<hr/>			
	National and international standards and guidance identified and adapted as required	100%	
	Technical standards and guidance agreed upon and used by partners	75%	
<hr/>			
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Use of existing national standards and guidelines where possible. Standards and guidance are agreed to, adhered to and reported against.	
<hr/>			
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified		
<hr/>			
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)		

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

3.3	Clarifying funding needs, prioritization, and cluster contributions to HC funding needs	Satisfactory
<hr/>		
	Prioritization of proposals against the strategic plan jointly determined with partners based on agreed transparent criteria	62%
	Prioritization of proposals against strategic plan fair to all partners	75%
	Cluster supported and facilitated access to funding sources by partners	100%
	Regular reporting on funding status	75%
<hr/>		
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Funding requirements determined with partners, allocation under jointly agreed criteria and prioritisation, status tracked and information shared.
<hr/>		
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
<hr/>		
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

4 Advocacy

4.1	Identifying advocacy concerns that contribute to HC and HCT messaging and action	Satisfactory
<hr/>		
	Issues requiring advocacy identified and discussed together with partners	75%
<hr/>		
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Concerns for advocacy identified with partners, including gaps, access, resource needs.
<hr/>		
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
<hr/>		
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

4.2	Undertaking advocacy activities on behalf of cluster participants and affected people	Good
<hr/>		
	Advocacy activities agreed upon and undertaken with partners	87%
<hr/>		
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Common advocacy campaign agreed and delivered across partners.
<hr/>		
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
<hr/>		
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

5 Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results

Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results		Unsatisfactory
Programme monitoring formats agreed upon and used by cluster partners		25%
Information shared by partners reflected in cluster reports		50%
Regular publication of progress reports based on agreed indicators for monitoring humanitarian response		75%
Regular publication of cluster bulletins		0%
Changes in needs, risk and gaps highlighted in cluster reports and used for decision-making by partners		50%
Response and monitoring of the cluster taking into account the needs, contributions and capacities of women, girls, men and boys		75%
Indicative characteristics of functions	Use of monitoring tools in accordance with agreed minimum standards, regular report sharing, progress mapped against agreed strategic plan, any necessary corrections identified.	
Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified		
Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)		

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

6 Preparedness for recurrent disasters

Preparedness for recurrent disasters		Satisfactory
National contingency plans identified and shared		100%
Partners contributed to initial or updated risk assessments and analysis		50%
Partners involved in development of preparedness plan		75%
Partners committed staff and/or resources towards preparedness plan		50%
Early warning reports shared with partners		50%
Indicative characteristics of functions	National contingency plans identified and shared; risk assessment and analysis carried out, multisectoral where appropriate; readiness status enhanced; regular distribution of early warning reports.	
Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified		
Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)		

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

7 Accountability to affected populations

Accountability to affected populations		Satisfactory
Mechanisms to consult and involve population in decision-making agreed upon and applied by partners		75%
Mechanisms to receive, investigate and act upon complaints about assistance received agreed upon and applied by partners		62%
Indicative characteristics of functions	Accountability to affected population; agencies have investigated and, as appropriate, acted upon feedback received about the assistance provided.	
Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified		
Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)		

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

Answer distributions and comments

0 General

Comments

I am working with the Red Cross Movement component

Mon organisation (World Vision International) joue le rôle de Co-facilitateur du Cluster Santé depuis plusieurs années.

mon organisation est une coopération bilatérale

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

1 Supporting service delivery

1.1 Provide a platform to ensure that service delivery is driven by the agreed strategic priorities

1.1.1 List of partners regularly updated

Coordinator

Has the list of cluster partners (including members and observers) been updated as needed?

The list has been updated almost as often as needed

1.1.2 Adequate frequency of cluster meetings


Coordinator

Are you satisfied with the frequency of cluster meetings?

Quite satisfied

Partners

Has the list of cluster partners (including members and observers) been updated as needed?



1.1.3 Attendance of cluster partners to cluster meetings


Coordinator

Have members and observers attended cluster meetings?

Some attended

Partners


Are you satisfied with the frequency of cluster meetings?



1.1.4 Level of decision making power of staff attending cluster meetings

Partners

Have minutes been taken at cluster meetings, with action points?




1.1.5 Conditions for optimal participation of national and international stakeholders

Coordinator

Could members and observers participate fully in cluster meetings? (For example, did meetings occur in accessible locations? Were participants able to speak in a range of languages?).
It was somewhat difficult to attend/participate in cluster meetings

Partners

Have members and observers attended cluster meetings?



1.1.6 Writing of minutes of cluster meetings with action points

Coordinator

Have minutes been taken at cluster meetings, with action points?
Minutes with action points have been taken at most meetings

1.1.7 Usefulness of cluster meetings for discussing needs, gaps and priorities


Coordinator

Have cluster meetings been useful in helping partners to discuss needs, gaps and priorities?

They have generally been useful

Partners

Have cluster meetings been useful in helping partners to discuss needs, gaps and priorities?



1.1.8 Useful strategic decision taken within the cluster


Coordinator

Has the cluster taken strategic decisions about the direction of the humanitarian response?

Strategic decisions were taken and they were mostly useful

Partners

Have you regularly attended humanitarian inter-sectoral coordination meetings, such as inter-cluster coordination meetings or country team meetings?



1.1.9 Attendance of cluster coordinator to HCT and ICC meetings

Coordinator

Have you regularly attended humanitarian inter-sectoral coordination meetings, such as inter-cluster coordination meetings or country team meetings?

I have often attended meetings

1.1.10 Support/engagement of cluster with national coordination mechanisms

Coordinator

Has the cluster supported or engaged with coordination mechanisms of national authorities in its sector?

National coordination representatives co-chair cluster meetings

1.2 Develop mechanisms to eliminate duplication of service delivery

1.2.1 Mapping of partner geographic presence and programme activities updated as needed

Coordinator

Has the cluster regularly mapped what partners are doing and where they are working (via 3W and similar mechanisms)?

Mapping was done but not updated as often as required

1.2.2 Inputs of health partners into mapping of partner geographic presence and programme activities


Coordinator

How many partners have helped to map programme activities and their geographical presence?

Few

Partners

Has the cluster regularly mapped what partners are doing and where they are working (via 3W and similar mechanisms)?



1.2.3 Involvement of partners into analysis of gaps and overlaps based on mapping

Partners

How many partners have helped to map programme activities and their geographical presence?



1.2.4 Analysis of gaps and overlaps based on mapping used by partners for decision-making


Coordinator

Has the cluster used information on programme activities and partners' geographical presence to analyse capacity and complementarity (gaps and overlaps). Has that information influenced cluster partners' decisions?

Analysis has been done but has not been used for decision making

Partners

Has the cluster used information on programme activities and partners' geographical presence to analyse capacity and complementarity (gaps and overlaps). Has that information influenced cluster partners' decisions?



Comments

aucun commentaire

la limite dans la complémentarité des interventions est le ciblage préalable des zones d'intervention par les organisations. De sorte que même s'il ya un gap à combler dans une zone si cette zone ne fait pas partie de votre zone d'intervention il serait peu probable d'intervenir.

Pour l'année 2019, le 3W a été mis à jour en juin 2019

The cluster always share reports of evaluation carried out by either the cluster members or any other organisation, discuss on the needs and gaps. These report are always useful for activity planning

Organiser l'analyse approfondi des capacités et de la complémentarité (identification des besoins non couverts et des duplications) à partir de l'inventaire des interventions des partenaires par zone géographique et par activité et faire sa mise à jour régulière au besoin. Publier les résultats de l'analyse sur le site de humanitarian response.

Pas assez de synergie entre le cluster santé et les organisations d'appui au développement du SS

Le cluster santé a fonctionné sans coordonnateur pendant quelques années et le colead est à mi-temps, s'intéresse très peu au fonctionnement du cluster. Le suivi des actions prises étaient très peu monitoré.

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

2 Informing strategic decision-making of the Humanitarian Coordinator/Humanitarian Country Team

2.1 Needs assessment and gap analysis

2.1.1 Use of cluster agreed tools and guidance for needs assessments

Coordinator

Have cluster partners used jointly agreed sectoral needs assessment tools and guidance?

The cluster has agreed tools and guidance and most partners have used them

Partners

Have cluster partners used jointly agreed sectoral needs assessment tools and guidance?



2.1.2 Involvement of partners in joint needs assessments


Coordinator

Have cluster partners been involved in coordinated sectoral needs assessments and surveys?

Coordinated assessments have been done but partners have not been involved

Partners

Have cluster partners been involved in coordinated sectoral needs assessments and surveys?



2.1.3 Sharing by partners of their assessment reports


Coordinator

Have cluster partners shared their own surveys and assessments with the cluster?

Survey and assessment reports have been shared by a few partners

Partners

Have cluster partners shared their own surveys and assessments with the cluster?



2.2 Analysis to identify and address (emerging) gaps, obstacles, duplication, and cross-cutting issues

2.2.1 Analyses of situations done together with cluster partners


Coordinator

Have you done situation analyses together with cluster partners?

Yes

Partners

Have you done situation analyses together with cluster partners?



2.2.2 Analyses of situations identified risk


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

Partially identified

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?



2.2.3 Analyses of situations identified needs


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

Mostly identified

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?



2.2.4 Analyses of situations identified gaps in response


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

Mostly identified

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?



2.2.5 Analyses of situations identified capacity in response


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

Partially identified

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?



2.2.6 Analyses of situations identified constraints to respond


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

Mostly identified

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?



2.2.7 Age (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

Mostly considered

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.8 Gender (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

Mostly considered

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.9 Diversity – other than age and gender- (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

Mostly considered

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.10 Human rights (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

Partially considered

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.11 Protection, including gender-based violence (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

Mostly considered

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.12 Environment (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

Partially considered

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.13 HIV/AIDS (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

Mostly considered

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.14 Disability (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

Partially considered

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?




2.3 Prioritizing on the basis of response analysis

2.3.1 Joint analyses supporting response planning

Partners

Have these analyses supported response planning and prioritization?



Comments

the Health Ministry who decide the planning and prioritization and if Donors are OK, we done/use.

The Health cluster, can involve not only humanitairain partners(such as Devevelopment NGOs) in the strategic decisions of the HC/HCT,but also development organizations in line of the Nexus Humanitairian- Development

le terme intervention prête à confusion. s'agit-il des interventions d'urgence ou s'agit-il du plan de développement sanitaire du MSP?

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

3 Planning and strategy development

3.1 Developing sectoral plans, objectives and indicators that directly support HC/HCT strategic priorities

3.1.1 Strategic plan developed

Coordinator

Has a cluster strategic plan been developed?

Yes

3.1.2 Partners involved in the development of strategic plan

Coordinator

Did cluster partners help to develop the cluster's strategic plan?

Cluster partners were involved to a large extent in developing the plan

Partners

Has a cluster strategic plan been developed?



3.1.3 Sectoral strategic plan includes objectives, activities and indicators

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan include objectives, activities and indicators?

Fully

3.1.4 Sectoral strategic plan reviewed against host government strategy

Coordinator

Has the cluster's strategic plan been reviewed against the host government's strategy?

Yes

3.1.5 Age (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Mostly addressed***3.1.6 Gender (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Mostly addressed***3.1.7 Diversity – other than age and gender- (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Mostly addressed***3.1.8 Human rights (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Partially addressed***3.1.9 Protection, including gender-based violence (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Mostly addressed***3.1.10 Environment (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Partially addressed***3.1.11 HIV/AIDS (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Mostly addressed***3.1.12 Disability (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Partially addressed***3.1.13 Strategic plan shows synergies with other sectors**

Coordinator

Does the sectoral strategic plan show synergies with other sectors, in line with the strategic objectives of the HCT?*The cluster's strategic plan addresses synergies with other clusters to a large extent*

3.1.14 Strategic plan used by partners for guiding response


Coordinator

During the last six months, how many partners have used the cluster's strategic plan to guide their response?

Most

Partners

Does the cluster's strategic plan include objectives, activities and indicators?



3.1.15 Deactivation criteria and phasing out strategy formulated together with partners

Coordinator

Have partners helped to identify deactivation criteria and a phase out strategy for the cluster?

Deactivation criteria and a phase out strategy have been identified with some partners

3.2 Adherence to and application of standards and guidelines

3.2.1 National and international standards and guidance identified and adapted as required

Coordinator

Have national and international standards and guidance been identified, adapted in consultation with national authorities (when necessary), and shared with partners?

Standards and guidance have been identified, adapted and shared

3.2.2 Technical standards and guidance agreed upon and used by partners


Coordinator

Have technical standards and guidance been agreed and have partners used them?

Technical standards and guidance have been agreed and some partners have used them

Partners

Have national and international standards and guidance been identified, adapted in consultation with national authorities (when necessary), and shared with partners?




3.3 Clarifying funding needs, prioritization, and cluster contributions to HC funding needs

Coordinator

Have cluster partners participated in prioritizing proposals against the strategic plan? Were transparent criteria agreed?
Transparent criteria were agreed but partners did not jointly prioritize proposals

Partners

Have cluster partners participated in prioritizing proposals against the strategic plan? Were transparent criteria agreed?




3.3.2 Prioritization of proposals against strategic plan fair to all partners

Coordinator

Were proposals prioritized against the strategic plan in a manner that was fair to all partners?
Proposals were prioritized but in a manner that was unfair to partners

Partners

Were proposals prioritized against the strategic plan in a manner that was fair to all partners?



3.3.3 Cluster supported and facilitated access to funding sources by partners

Coordinator

Has the cluster assisted partners to access funds (for example by including their proposals in appeals or applications to the Emergency Response Fund or Common Humanitarian Fund)?
The cluster has given partners very good support

3.3.4 Regular reporting on funding status


Coordinator

How often have you reported on the funding status of the cluster against needs?*

Almost as often as needed

Partners

Has the cluster assisted partners to access funds (for example by including their proposals in appeals or applications to the Emergency Response Fund or Common Humanitarian Fund)?



Comments

Aucune observation

l'élaboration des stratégies et la planification a toujours été un processus participatif, mais la difficulté beaucoup des partenaires n'accordent pas d'importance à l'exercice mais comme la roue doit tourner on fait avec ceux qui s'intéressent.

Intégrer semestriellement ou aussi souvent que nécessaire, la présentation des rapports sur la situation de financement du groupe sectoriel à l'ordre du jour de nos réunions. Renforcer la multisectorialité et le partenariat avec les clusters de la sous-région (ceux du Sahel surtout) ainsi qu'avec le niveau global. Doter le groupe sectoriel d'un IMO pour faciliter la gestion et la publication des données relatifs au Cluster Santé.

processus de planification du groupe sectoriel santé (en fait sous-secteur humanitaire et non secteur santé dans son ensemble) pas en phase avec processus de planification du MSP ==> difficile de commencer à penser nexus humanitaire-développement

18% seulement du montant sollicité ont été mobilisés (financement HRP et Hors HRP). Le cluster a bénéficié d'un CERF mais les partenaires qui mettent les projets dans le système ne bénéficient pas souvent du CERF à travers l'OMS en dehors de structures du Ministère de la santé. Il y a pas de fonds commun développé dans le pays et les partenaires utilisent la plate-forme pour mobiliser de fonds auprès d'autres bailleurs et montrer que c'est en lien avec la stratégie humanitaire du pays(HRP).

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

4 Advocacy

4.1 Identifying advocacy concerns that contribute to HC and HCT messaging and action

4.1.1 Issues requiring advocacy identified and discussed together with partners


Coordinator

Has the cluster identified issues requiring advocacy and discussed them with partners?

The cluster has identified advocacy issues in consultation with most partners

Partners

Has the cluster identified issues requiring advocacy and discussed them with partners?



4.2 Undertaking advocacy activities on behalf of cluster participants and affected people

4.2.1 Advocacy activities agreed upon and undertaken with partners


Coordinator

Have advocacy activities been agreed and undertaken together with partners?

Advocacy activities have been agreed and all partners have taken part in them

Partners

Have advocacy activities been agreed and undertaken together with partners?



Comments

Aucun commentaire

Mon organisation est très engagée et active dans le plaidoyer y compris la mobilisation des ressources dans le cadre du financement CERF.

Au moins de juin, des activités de plaidoyer ont étaient mises à la disposition de la coordinatrice humanitaire pour lui permettre de faire le plaidoyer auprès des Bailleurs. On a assisté à un faible financement du HRP en général et du secteur de la santé eu particulier. Après le plaidoyer, un mois après le financement du secteur de la santé est passé de 15% à 18%. Le secteur reste toujours sous financé à ce jour.

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

5 Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results

5.1 Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results

5.1.1 Programme monitoring formats agreed upon and used by cluster partners

Coordinator

Have partners used programme monitoring and reporting formats that cluster partners have agreed?

Standards have been agreed and some partners have reported regularly

Partners

Is the information that partners send reflected in cluster bulletins and updates?



5.1.2 Information shared by partners reflected in cluster reports

Coordinator

Is the information that partners send reflected in cluster bulletins and updates?

Information has been shared and has been taken into account to some extent

5.1.3 Regular publication of progress reports based on agreed indicators for monitoring humanitarian response

Coordinator

Has progress on programmes or the strategic plan been reported using agreed indicators for monitoring the humanitarian response? *

Almost as often as needed

5.1.4 Regular publication of cluster bulletins

Coordinator

Have cluster bulletins or updates been published?

Never

5.1.5 Changes in needs, risk and gaps highlighted in cluster reports and used for decision-making by partners


Coordinator

Have cluster bulletins or updates highlighted risks, gaps and changing needs, and has this information influenced decisions?

Changes in needs, risks and gaps have not been highlighted in any bulletins or reports

Partners

Have partners used programme monitoring and reporting formats that cluster partners have agreed?



8/26/2020

Prime

5.1.6 Response and monitoring of the cluster taking into account the needs, contributions and capacities of women, girls, men and boys


Coordinator

Has your cluster taken into account the distinct needs, contributions and capacities of women, girls, men and boys, in its response and monitoring?*

Partially

Partners

Has progress on programmes or the strategic plan been reported using agreed indicators for monitoring the humanitarian response? *



Comments

Aucune observation

pas de réponse appropriée pour mon organisation à la question "Votre organisation a-t-elle utilisé les formats et mécanismes convenus pour le suivi des programmes et l'établissement de rapports?" qui aurait été 'non applicable'

Parmi les livrables du cluster santé, le rapport périodique trimestriel est produit tous les 3 mois bien qu'en retard. A ce jour, les rapports PMR de 2 premiers trimestres ont été produits mais les données sont souvent mises à la disposition de l'équipe de coordination en retard et parfois incomplètes. Pas de bulletin produit pour le compte du cluster. L'initiative est en train de naître mais nécessité de l'appui AFRO et HQ pour combler le gap d'IMO.

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

6 Preparedness for recurrent disasters

6.1 Preparedness for recurrent disasters

6.1.1 National contingency plans identified and shared

Coordinator

Have national preparedness or contingency plans (sectoral or multi-sectoral) been identified and shared?

A national plan has been identified and the cluster has discussed it

6.1.2 Partners contributed to initial or updated risk assessments and analysis

Coordinator

Have cluster partners contributed to initial risk assessments and analysis (including multi sectoral), or updates?

Risk assessment has been done and some partners have participated

6.1.3 Partners involved in development of preparedness plan


Coordinator

Have cluster partners helped to develop or update preparedness plans (including multisectoral ones) that address hazards and risks?

Preparedness plans have been written/updated and most partners have participated

Partners

Have national preparedness or contingency plans (sectoral or multi-sectoral) been identified and shared?



6.1.4 Partners committed staff and/or resources towards preparedness plan


Coordinator

Have cluster partners committed staff or resources that can be mobilized when preparedness plans are activated? Please choose only one of the following:

Few partners have committed staff or resources that can be mobilized

Partners

Have cluster partners contributed to initial risk assessments and analysis (including multi sectoral), or updates?



6.1.5 Early warning reports shared with partners

Coordinator

Have you regularly shared and discussed early warning reports with cluster partners?

Early warning reports have sometimes been shared

Comments

Aucun commentaire

Le système d'alerte précoce doit être systématiquement mis en place et partager régulièrement avec les partenaires pour la prise de décisions orientées sur les besoins réels de populations vulnérables.

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

7 Accountability to affected populations

7.1 Accountability to affected populations

7.1.1 Mechanisms to consult and involve population in decision-making agreed upon and applied by partners

Coordinator

Have cluster partners agreed and applied mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) for consulting and involving affected people in decision-making?*

Mechanisms for consulting/involving affected people have been agreed and some partners have applied them

Partners

Have cluster partners agreed and applied mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) for consulting and involving affected people in decision-making?*



7.1.2 Mechanisms to receive, investigate and act upon complaints about assistance received agreed upon and applied by partners


Coordinator

Have cluster partners agreed and applied mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) to receive, investigate and act on complaints about assistance received?*

An investigation/complaint mechanism has been agreed and some partners have applied them

Partners

Have cluster partners agreed and applied mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) to receive, investigate and act on complaints about assistance received?*



Comments

aucune observation

Certains partenaires ont un mécanisme de gestion de plaintes au sein de leur organisation. Mais ces différents mécanismes doivent être renforcés et un compromis trouver au sein du cluster pour que tous les partenaires utilisent ce mécanisme de gestion de plaintes et feedback dans le cadre de la redevabilité vis-à-vis des bénéficiaires ou populations affectées par la crise.

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

8 Others

Comments

RAS

Le groupe sectoriel santé est un maillon important, la participation aux réunions de coordination est importante cependant la qualité de la participation reste à revoir car pour la plupart les participants n'ont pas de prise de décision ou encore plusieurs personnes participent de façon rotative ce qui ne permet pas une continuité dans l'action. Si les partenaires devraient définir des points focaux pour la participation aux réunions et leur alternance ce serait idéal.

Le cluster santé a été relativement peu actif depuis le début de l'année. Un mieux est constaté depuis juin 2019 avec l'arrivée d'un nouveau Lead.

Nothing to add

aucune information. Merci

Actually, the Health Cluster is better than 2 years ago, and the team is reinforced. the dynamic is better. Well done and continue in this way.

Aucune

The cluster should involve much more other development NGOs who are operating not only in emergency area, in order to have a complete mapping and a complementarity in the solving gaps.

NON

Besoin d'accompagnement et de soutien pour améliorer la coordination du cluster santé et redonner confiance tant aux partenaires qu'aux bailleurs de fonds sur la pertinence de la coordination des acteurs et de financement des projets de partenaires.