

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

# Libya

Level : National

Completed on: 26 August - 2020


---

## Final report

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

Overall response rate

(Based on the number of organizations that are part of the cluster)



Total

53 %

Total number of partners

34

Number partners responding

18

International NGOs

Total



69 %

Total number of partners

13

Number partners responding

9

National NGOs

Total



0 %

Total number of partners

2

Number partners responding

0

UN Agencies

Total



50 %

Total number of partners

6

Number partners responding

3

National Authorities

Total



50 %

Total number of partners

2

Number partners responding

1

Donors

Total



29 %

Total number of partners

7

Number partners responding

2

Other

Total



75 %

Total number of partners

4


Number partners responding

3

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

Effective response rate

(Based on the average number of organizations participating to cluster meetings)



Total	
113 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
16	18

International NGOs



Total	
180 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
5	9

National NGOs



Total	
0 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
0	0

UN Agencies



Total	
75 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
4	3

National Authorities



Total	
50 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
2	1

Donors



Total	
200 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
1	2

Other



Total	
75 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
4	3

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## Overall Performance

Score	Performance status
> 75 %	
51 % - 75 %	Good
26 % - 50 %	Satisfactory
< 26 %	Unsatisfactory
	Weak

1	Supporting service delivery	
1.1	Provide a platform to ensure that service delivery is driven by the agreed strategic priorities	Satisfactory
1.2	Developing mechanisms that eliminate duplication of service delivery	Satisfactory
	Informing strategic decision-making of the Humanitarian Coordinator/Humanitarian Country Team	
2		
2.1	Needs assessment and gap analysis	Satisfactory
2.2	Analysis to identify and address (emerging) gaps, obstacles, duplication, and cross-cutting issues	Weak
2.3	Prioritizing on the basis of response analysis	Unsatisfactory
3	Planning and strategy development	
3.1	Developing sectoral plans, objectives and indicators that directly support HC/HCT strategic priorities	Satisfactory
3.2	Adherence to and application of standards and guidelines	Unsatisfactory
3.3	Clarifying funding needs, prioritization, and cluster contributions to HC funding needs	Satisfactory
4	Advocacy	
4.1	Identifying advocacy concerns that contribute to HC and HCT messaging and action	Satisfactory
4.2	Undertaking advocacy activities on behalf of cluster participants and affected people	Satisfactory
5	Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results	Satisfactory
6	Preparedness for recurrent disasters	Satisfactory
7	Accountability to affected populations	Good

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## Performance per function and review

### 1 Supporting service delivery

1.1 Provide a platform to ensure that service delivery is driven by the agreed strategic priorities Satisfactory

---

List of partners regularly updated	100%
Adequate frequency of cluster meetings	75%
Attendance of cluster partners to cluster meetings	50%
Level of decision making power of staff attending cluster meetings	100%
Conditions for optimal participation of national and international stakeholders	75%
Writing of minutes of cluster meetings with action points	100%
Usefulness of cluster meetings for discussing needs, gaps and priorities	75%
Useful strategic decision taken within the cluster	62%
Attendance of cluster coordinator to HCT and ICC meetings	50%
Support/engagement of cluster with national coordination mechanisms	75%

---

Indicative characteristics of functions	Established, relevant coordination mechanism recognising national systems, subnational and co-lead aspects; stakeholders participating regularly and effectively; cluster coordinator active in inter-cluster and related meetings.
---	---

---

Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified

---

Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)

---

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

1.2	Develop mechanisms to eliminate duplication of service delivery	Satisfactory
<hr/>		
	Mapping of partner geographic presence and programme activities updated as needed	100%
	Inputs of health partners into mapping of partner geographic presence and programme activities	75%
	Involvement of partners into analysis of gaps and overlaps based on mapping	75%
	Analysis of gaps and overlaps based on mapping used by partners for decision-making	75%
<hr/>		
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Cluster partner engagement in dynamic mapping of presence and capacity (4W); information sharing across clusters in line with joint Strategic Objectives.
<hr/>		
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
<hr/>		
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## 2 Informing strategic decision-making of the Humanitarian Coordinator/Humanitarian Country Team

2.1	Needs assessment and gap analysis	Satisfactory
<hr/>		
	Use of cluster agreed tools and guidance for needs assessments	75%
	Involvement of partners in joint needs assessments	50%
	Sharing by partners of their assessment reports	50%
<hr/>		
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Use of assessment tools in accordance with agreed minimum standards, individual assessment / survey results shared and/or carried out jointly as appropriate.
<hr/>		
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
<hr/>		
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

2.2 Analysis to identify and address (emerging) gaps, obstacles, duplication, and cross-cutting issues Weak

---

Analyses of situations done together with cluster partners	0%
Analyses of situations identified risk	87%
Analyses of situations identified needs	87%
Analyses of situations identified gaps in response	75%
Analyses of situations identified capacity in response	75%
Analyses of situations identified constraints to respond	75%
Age (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	87%
Gender (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	87%
Diversity – other than age and gender- (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	62%
Human rights (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	75%
Protection, including gender-based violence (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	87%
Environment (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	62%
HIV/AIDS (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	87%
Disability (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	87%

---

Indicative characteristics of functions	Joint analysis for current and anticipated risks, needs, gaps and constraints; cross cutting issues addressed from outset.
---	--

---

Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified

---

Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)



# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

2.3	Prioritizing on the basis of response analysis		Unsatisfactory
<hr/>			
Joint analyses supporting response planning		50%	
<hr/>			
Indicative characteristics of functions	Joint analysis supporting response planning and prioritisation in short and medium term.		
<hr/>			
Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified			
<hr/>			
Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)			

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## 3 Planning and strategy development

3.1 Developing sectoral plans, objectives and indicators that directly support HC/HCT strategic priorities Satisfactory

Strategic plan developed	100%
Partners involved in the development of strategic plan	75%
Sectoral strategic plan includes objectives, activities and indicators	100%
Sectoral strategic plan reviewed against host government strategy	100%
Age (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	50%
Gender (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	50%
Diversity – other than age and gender- (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	25%
Human rights (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	50%
Protection, including gender-based violence (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	75%
Environment (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	25%
HIV/AIDS (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	75%
Disability (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	75%
Strategic plan shows synergies with other sectors	75%
Strategic plan used by partners for guiding response	62%
Deactivation criteria and phasing out strategy formulated together with partners	0%

Indicative characteristics of functions	Strategic plan based on identified priorities, shows synergies with other sectors against strategic objectives, addresses cross cutting issues, incorporates exit strategy discussion and is developed jointly with partners. Plan is updated regularly and guides response.
---	--

Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified

Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

3.2	Adherence to and application of standards and guidelines	Unsatisfactory
<hr/>		
	National and international standards and guidance identified and adapted as required	100%
	Technical standards and guidance agreed upon and used by partners	37%
<hr/>		
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Use of existing national standards and guidelines where possible. Standards and guidance are agreed to, adhered to and reported against.
<hr/>		
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
<hr/>		
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

3.3	Clarifying funding needs, prioritization, and cluster contributions to HC funding needs	Satisfactory
<hr/>		
	Prioritization of proposals against the strategic plan jointly determined with partners based on agreed transparent criteria	37%
	Prioritization of proposals against strategic plan fair to all partners	62%
	Cluster supported and facilitated access to funding sources by partners	75%
	Regular reporting on funding status	100%
<hr/>		
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Funding requirements determined with partners, allocation under jointly agreed criteria and prioritisation, status tracked and information shared.
<hr/>		
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
<hr/>		
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## 4 Advocacy

4.1	Identifying advocacy concerns that contribute to HC and HCT messaging and action		Satisfactory
Issues requiring advocacy identified and discussed together with partners			75%
Indicative characteristics of functions	Concerns for advocacy identified with partners, including gaps, access, resource needs.		
Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified			
Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)			

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

4.2	Undertaking advocacy activities on behalf of cluster participants and affected people	Satisfactory
<hr/>		
	Advocacy activities agreed upon and undertaken with partners	62%
<hr/>		
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Common advocacy campaign agreed and delivered across partners.
<hr/>		
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
<hr/>		
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## 5 Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results

Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results		Satisfactory
Programme monitoring formats agreed upon and used by cluster partners		25%
Information shared by partners reflected in cluster reports		100%
Regular publication of progress reports based on agreed indicators for monitoring humanitarian response		100%
Regular publication of cluster bulletins		100%
Changes in needs, risk and gaps highlighted in cluster reports and used for decision-making by partners		75%
Response and monitoring of the cluster taking into account the needs, contributions and capacities of women, girls, men and boys		62%
Indicative characteristics of functions	Use of monitoring tools in accordance with agreed minimum standards, regular report sharing, progress mapped against agreed strategic plan, any necessary corrections identified.	
Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified		
Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)		

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## 6 Preparedness for recurrent disasters

Preparedness for recurrent disasters

Satisfactory

<hr/>	
National contingency plans identified and shared	100%
Partners contributed to initial or updated risk assessments and analysis	50%
Partners involved in development of preparedness plan	75%
Partners committed staff and/or resources towards preparedness plan	50%
Early warning reports shared with partners	100%
<hr/>	
Indicative characteristics of functions	National contingency plans identified and shared; risk assessment and analysis carried out, multisectoral where appropriate; readiness status enhanced; regular distribution of early warning reports.
<hr/>	
Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
<hr/>	
Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	



Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

7 Accountability to affected populations

Accountability to affected populations		Good
Mechanisms to consult and involve population in decision-making agreed upon and applied by partners		100%
Mechanisms to receive, investigate and act upon complaints about assistance received agreed upon and applied by partners		100%
Indicative characteristics of functions	Accountability to affected population; agencies have investigated and, as appropriate, acted upon feedback received about the assistance provided.	
Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified		
Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)		

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

# Answer distributions and comments

### 0 General

#### Comments

As CARE is in the process of acquiring legal status in Libya it is not operational and thus unable to effectively take part in Sector meetings.

مؤسسة الشيخ الطاهر الزاوي الخيرية هي مؤسسة غير حكومية، غير ربحية ومستقلة تعنى بالعمل الخيري وتطوير المجتمعات، إذ تعمل في مجالات التنمية المستدامة، مساعدة ذوي الدخل  
Shiek Taher Azzawi اختصاراً لاسمها باللغة الإنجليزية "S.T.A.C.O" المحدود، إغاثة المنكوبين في حالات الطوارئ والمتضررين من النزاعات دون تمييز أو تحيز. تعرف المؤسسة باسم  
Charity Organization ، ويكون ( 1648 ) وقد مُنحت المؤسسة شهادة تسجيل تحت رقم ( 1648 ) ويكون ،  
المقر الرئيسي لها في مدينة الزاوية الغربية ولها فروع ومكاتب في داخل ليبيا

I joined IRC as new health coordinator a month ago. I might not or not sure to have responses to all questions but will provide responses based on the month I have been interacting with the health cluster. I will also seek support from people who have acted as health coordinator and participated at the health cluster activities.

The Migrant and Refugees Platform is a coordination mechanism set up by IOM UNHCR and the INGO forum to respond and support thematic approaches related to migrants and refugees in Libya.

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## 1 Supporting service delivery

1.1 Provide a platform to ensure that service delivery is driven by the agreed strategic priorities

### 1.1.1 List of partners regularly updated

Coordinator

Has the list of cluster partners (including members and observers) been updated as needed?

*The list has been updated as often as needed*

### 1.1.2 Adequate frequency of cluster meetings


Coordinator

Are you satisfied with the frequency of cluster meetings?

*Satisfied to a limited extent*

Partners

Has the list of cluster partners (including members and observers) been updated as needed?



1.1.3 Attendance of cluster partners to cluster meetings


Coordinator

Have members and observers attended cluster meetings?

*Most attended, but few major actors attended*

Partners


Are you satisfied with the frequency of cluster meetings?



1.1.4 Level of decision making power of staff attending cluster meetings

Partners

Have minutes been taken at cluster meetings, with action points?




1.1.5 Conditions for optimal participation of national and international stakeholders

Coordinator

Could members and observers participate fully in cluster meetings? (For example, did meetings occur in accessible locations? Were participants able to speak in a range of languages?).  
*It was very difficult to attend/participate in cluster meetings*

Partners

Have members and observers attended cluster meetings?



1.1.6 Writing of minutes of cluster meetings with action points

Coordinator

Have minutes been taken at cluster meetings, with action points?  
*Minutes with action points have been taken at most meetings*

1.1.7 Usefulness of cluster meetings for discussing needs, gaps and priorities


Coordinator

Have cluster meetings been useful in helping partners to discuss needs, gaps and priorities?

*They have generally been useful*

Partners

Have cluster meetings been useful in helping partners to discuss needs, gaps and priorities?



### 1.1.8 Useful strategic decision taken within the cluster

Coordinator

Has the cluster taken strategic decisions about the direction of the humanitarian response?

*Strategic decisions were taken and they were mostly useful*

Partners

Have you regularly attended humanitarian inter-sectoral coordination meetings, such as inter-cluster coordination meetings or country team meetings?



### 1.1.9 Attendance of cluster coordinator to HCT and ICC meetings

Coordinator

Have you regularly attended humanitarian inter-sectoral coordination meetings, such as inter-cluster coordination meetings or country team meetings?

*I have sometimes attended meetings*

### 1.1.10 Support/engagement of cluster with national coordination mechanisms

Coordinator

Has the cluster supported or engaged with coordination mechanisms of national authorities in its sector?

*National coordination representatives co-chair cluster meetings*

## 1.2 Develop mechanisms to eliminate duplication of service delivery

### 1.2.1 Mapping of partner geographic presence and programme activities updated as needed

Coordinator

Has the cluster regularly mapped what partners are doing and where they are working (via 3W and similar mechanisms)?

*Mapping was done and always updated as often as required*

## 1.2.2 Inputs of health partners into mapping of partner geographic presence and programme activities

Coordinator

How many partners have helped to map programme activities and their geographical presence?

Most

Partners

Has the cluster regularly mapped what partners are doing and where they are working (via 3W and similar mechanisms)?



## 1.2.3 Involvement of partners into analysis of gaps and overlaps based on mapping

Partners

How many partners have helped to map programme activities and their geographical presence?





1.2.4 Analysis of gaps and overlaps based on mapping used by partners for decision-making


Coordinator

Has the cluster used information on programme activities and partners' geographical presence to analyse capacity and complementarity (gaps and overlaps). Has that information influenced cluster partners' decisions?

*Analysis has been done and has been used by a few partners for decision making*

Partners

Has the cluster used information on programme activities and partners' geographical presence to analyse capacity and complementarity (gaps and overlaps). Has that information influenced cluster partners' decisions?



Comments

As mentioned earlier, CARE is in the process of acquiring legal status in Libya with programme focusing on health sector. The information coming from the cluster has been very useful in understanding needs, progress and coordination.

ساهمت منظمتي الى حد كبير في تقديم الدعم والمساعدة

MRP works on thematic issues and as such does not have an operational presence that most of the questions are targeting. it would be useful to provide maps of intervention instead of tables we receive a lot of emails, we can't manage such flow

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## 2 Informing strategic decision-making of the Humanitarian Coordinator/Humanitarian Country Team

### 2.1 Needs assessment and gap analysis

#### 2.1.1 Use of cluster agreed tools and guidance for needs assessments

Coordinator

Have cluster partners used jointly agreed sectoral needs assessment tools and guidance?

*The cluster has agreed tools and guidance and some partners have used them*

Partners

Have cluster partners used jointly agreed sectoral needs assessment tools and guidance?



2.1.2 Involvement of partners in joint needs assessments


Coordinator

Have cluster partners been involved in coordinated sectoral needs assessments and surveys?

*Partners have been involved in some coordinated assessments*

Partners

Have cluster partners been involved in coordinated sectoral needs assessments and surveys?



2.1.3 Sharing by partners of their assessment reports


Coordinator

Have cluster partners shared their own surveys and assessments with the cluster?

*Survey and assessment reports have been shared by a few partners*

Partners

Have cluster partners shared their own surveys and assessments with the cluster?



2.2 Analysis to identify and address (emerging) gaps, obstacles, duplication, and cross-cutting issues

2.2.1 Analyses of situations done together with cluster partners


Coordinator

Have you done situation analyses together with cluster partners?

Yes

Partners

Have you done situation analyses together with cluster partners?



2.2.2 Analyses of situations identified risk


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

*Mostly identified*

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?



2.2.3 Analyses of situations identified needs


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

*Mostly identified*

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?



2.2.4 Analyses of situations identified gaps in response


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

*Mostly identified*

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?





2.2.5 Analyses of situations identified capacity in response


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

*Mostly identified*

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?



2.2.6 Analyses of situations identified constraints to respond


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

*Mostly identified*

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?



2.2.7 Age (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

*Mostly considered*

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.8 Gender (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

*Mostly considered*

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.9 Diversity – other than age and gender- (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

*Not considered*

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.10 Human rights (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

*Partially considered*

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.11 Protection, including gender-based violence (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

*Mostly considered*

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.12 Environment (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

*Not considered*

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?





2.2.13 HIV/AIDS (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

*Mostly considered*

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.14 Disability (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

*Mostly considered*

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?




2.3 Prioritizing on the basis of response analysis

2.3.1 Joint analyses supporting response planning

Partners

Have these analyses supported response planning and prioritization?



## Comments

Can't gage that as CARE does not have permanent presence in Libya yet to work closely with cluster and its members.  
the MRP has assisted the FSS to develop the Inter-agency common position on food assistance in detention centers.

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

### 3 Planning and strategy development

3.1 Developing sectoral plans, objectives and indicators that directly support HC/HCT strategic priorities

#### 3.1.1 Strategic plan developed

Coordinator

Has a cluster strategic plan been developed?

Yes

#### 3.1.2 Partners involved in the development of strategic plan


Coordinator

Did cluster partners help to develop the cluster's strategic plan?

*Cluster partners were fully involved in developing the plan*

Partners

Has a cluster strategic plan been developed?



#### 3.1.3 Sectoral strategic plan includes objectives, activities and indicators

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan include objectives, activities and indicators?

*Fully*

#### 3.1.4 Sectoral strategic plan reviewed against host government strategy

Coordinator

Has the cluster's strategic plan been reviewed against the host government's strategy?

Yes

**3.1.5 Age (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Partially addressed***3.1.6 Gender (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Partially addressed***3.1.7 Diversity – other than age and gender- (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Not addressed***3.1.8 Human rights (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Partially addressed***3.1.9 Protection, including gender-based violence (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Mostly addressed***3.1.10 Environment (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Not addressed***3.1.11 HIV/AIDS (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Mostly addressed***3.1.12 Disability (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Mostly addressed***3.1.13 Strategic plan shows synergies with other sectors**

Coordinator

Does the sectoral strategic plan show synergies with other sectors, in line with the strategic objectives of the HCT?*The cluster's strategic plan addresses synergies with other clusters to a large extent*

### 3.1.14 Strategic plan used by partners for guiding response


Coordinator

During the last six months, how many partners have used the cluster's strategic plan to guide their response?

*Some*

Partners

Does the cluster's strategic plan include objectives, activities and indicators?



### 3.1.15 Deactivation criteria and phasing out strategy formulated together with partners

Coordinator

Have partners helped to identify deactivation criteria and a phase out strategy for the cluster?

*Deactivation criteria and a phase-out strategy have not been identified or discussed with partners*

## 3.2 Adherence to and application of standards and guidelines

### 3.2.1 National and international standards and guidance identified and adapted as required

Coordinator

Have national and international standards and guidance been identified, adapted in consultation with national authorities (when necessary), and shared with partners?

*Standards and guidance have been identified, adapted and shared*

3.2.2 Technical standards and guidance agreed upon and used by partners

Coordinator

Have technical standards and guidance been agreed and have partners used them?

*Technical standards and guidance have been agreed and some partners have used them*

Partners

Have national and international standards and guidance been identified, adapted in consultation with national authorities (when necessary), and shared with partners?




3.3 Clarifying funding needs, prioritization, and cluster contributions to HC funding needs

Coordinator

Have cluster partners participated in prioritizing proposals against the strategic plan? Were transparent criteria agreed?  
*Transparent criteria were agreed and partners jointly prioritized proposals to some extent*

Partners

Have cluster partners participated in prioritizing proposals against the strategic plan? Were transparent criteria agreed?





### 3.3.2 Prioritization of proposals against strategic plan fair to all partners


Coordinator

Were proposals prioritized against the strategic plan in a manner that was fair to all partners?

*Proposals were prioritized in a manner that was fair to the majority of partners*

Partners

Were proposals prioritized against the strategic plan in a manner that was fair to all partners?



### 3.3.3 Cluster supported and facilitated access to funding sources by partners

Coordinator

Has the cluster assisted partners to access funds (for example by including their proposals in appeals or applications to the Emergency Response Fund or Common Humanitarian Fund)?

*The cluster has given partners good support*

3.3.4 Regular reporting on funding status


Coordinator

How often have you reported on the funding status of the cluster against needs?\*

As often as needed

Partners

Has the cluster assisted partners to access funds (for example by including their proposals in appeals or applications to the Emergency Response Fund or Common Humanitarian Fund)?



Comments

As CARE is not taking part in the sector meetings due to lack of presence in Libya my answers are based on information received from cluster.

لقد ساهمت منظمتي بشكل كبير في التخطيط ووضع الاستراتيجيات

Since I arrived, I haven't received such proposal opportunity from the cluster but could be something shared prior to my arrival

The above questions are nor relevant to the work of the MRP.

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## 4 Advocacy

### 4.1 Identifying advocacy concerns that contribute to HC and HCT messaging and action

#### 4.1.1 Issues requiring advocacy identified and discussed together with partners

Coordinator

Has the cluster identified issues requiring advocacy and discussed them with partners?

*The cluster has identified advocacy issues in consultation with all partners*

Partners

Has the cluster identified issues requiring advocacy and discussed them with partners?



### 4.2 Undertaking advocacy activities on behalf of cluster participants and affected people

#### 4.2.1 Advocacy activities agreed upon and undertaken with partners


Coordinator

Have advocacy activities been agreed and undertaken together with partners?

*Advocacy activities have been agreed and all partners have taken part in them*

Partners

Have advocacy activities been agreed and undertaken together with partners?



Comments

I think there is a room for improvement

CARE is not operational in Libya yet so is unable to take part in advocacy efforts.

منظمتي لها العديد من الانشطة في طلب الدعم

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

### 5 Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results

#### 5.1 Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results

##### 5.1.1 Programme monitoring formats agreed upon and used by cluster partners

Coordinator

Have partners used programme monitoring and reporting formats that cluster partners have agreed?

*Standards have been agreed and some partners have reported regularly*

Partners

Is the information that partners send reflected in cluster bulletins and updates?



##### 5.1.2 Information shared by partners reflected in cluster reports

Coordinator

Is the information that partners send reflected in cluster bulletins and updates?

*Information has been shared and has been taken into account fully*

##### 5.1.3 Regular publication of progress reports based on agreed indicators for monitoring humanitarian response

Coordinator

Has progress on programmes or the strategic plan been reported using agreed indicators for monitoring the humanitarian response? \*

*As often as needed*

##### 5.1.4 Regular publication of cluster bulletins

Coordinator

Have cluster bulletins or updates been published?

*As often as needed*

5.1.5 Changes in needs, risk and gaps highlighted in cluster reports and used for decision-making by partners


Coordinator

Have cluster bulletins or updates highlighted risks, gaps and changing needs, and has this information influenced decisions?

*Changes in needs, risks and gaps have been highlighted and have always been used for decision-making*

Partners

Have partners used programme monitoring and reporting formats that cluster partners have agreed?



8/26/2020

Prime

5.1.6 Response and monitoring of the cluster taking into account the needs, contributions and capacities of women, girls, men and boys


Coordinator

Has your cluster taken into account the distinct needs, contributions and capacities of women, girls, men and boys, in its response and monitoring?\*

Partially

Partners

Has progress on programmes or the strategic plan been reported using agreed indicators for monitoring the humanitarian response? \*



Comments

As non operational in Libya yet, CARE has used cluster information to design its programme in Libya.

منظمتي شاركت في المشاركة والابلاغ

The above questions are not relevant to the work of the MRP and its undertakings as a thematic grouping without any operational presence on the ground.

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

### 6 Preparedness for recurrent disasters

#### 6.1 Preparedness for recurrent disasters

##### 6.1.1 National contingency plans identified and shared

Coordinator

Have national preparedness or contingency plans (sectoral or multi-sectoral) been identified and shared?

*A national plan has been identified and the cluster has discussed it*

##### 6.1.2 Partners contributed to initial or updated risk assessments and analysis

Coordinator

Have cluster partners contributed to initial risk assessments and analysis (including multi sectoral), or updates?

*Risk assessment has been done and some partners have participated*

##### 6.1.3 Partners involved in development of preparedness plan


Coordinator

Have cluster partners helped to develop or update preparedness plans (including multisectoral ones) that address hazards and risks?

*Preparedness plans have been written/updated and most partners have participated*

Partners

Have national preparedness or contingency plans (sectoral or multi-sectoral) been identified and shared?





### 6.1.4 Partners committed staff and/or resources towards preparedness plan


Coordinator

Have cluster partners committed staff or resources that can be mobilized when preparedness plans are activated? Please choose only one of the following:

*Some partners have committed staff or resources that can be mobilized*

Partners

Have cluster partners contributed to initial risk assessments and analysis (including multi sectoral), or updates?



### 6.1.5 Early warning reports shared with partners

Coordinator

Have you regularly shared and discussed early warning reports with cluster partners?

*Early warning reports have always been shared*

Comments

CARE intends to fully engage with cluster when gains legal status in Libya and become operational.

منظمتي تقوم بوضع خطة الاستعداد

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## 7 Accountability to affected populations

### 7.1 Accountability to affected populations

#### 7.1.1 Mechanisms to consult and involve population in decision-making agreed upon and applied by partners

Coordinator

Have cluster partners agreed and applied mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) for consulting and involving affected people in decision-making?\*

*Mechanisms for consulting/involving affected people have been agreed and some partners have applied them*

Partners

Have cluster partners agreed and applied mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) for consulting and involving affected people in decision-making?\*



## 7.1.2 Mechanisms to receive, investigate and act upon complaints about assistance received agreed upon and applied by partners


Coordinator

Have cluster partners agreed and applied mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) to receive, investigate and act on complaints about assistance received?\*

*An investigation/complaint mechanism has been agreed and some partners have applied them*

Partners

Have cluster partners agreed and applied mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) to receive, investigate and act on complaints about assistance received?\*



Comments

I think this can be done easily in the future

As CARE not taking part in cluster meetings and activities, it cannot really gage the response to questions raised in this section.

منظمتي دائما تأخذ في الاعتبار السكان المتضررين

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

### 8 Others

#### Comments

We are so happy for the energetic new coordinator and looking forward to improve the coordination to benefit the population in need.

The cluster information has been vital for CARE to understand health needs in Libya and design its programme accordingly. CARE appreciates the role of the cluster and intends to full engage with the cluster once it has permanent presence in Libya. Thank you for your continued support and efforts.

التدريب المتابعة التقارير التقييم

The cluster should ensure participation of (all) the organizations to participate in its activities, meetings and deliberations

Since I joined IRC Libya country program, I have been working closely with the health cluster and receive regular updates and documents for inputs. The updates are really relevant and guided our response. In addition, gaps and request for responses are communicated regularly with follow up on action. These have been very useful for the organization response and planning.

We the arrival of the new Healthco, we have observed a new dynamic in the health cluster. Reports and analysis are more widely shared.

We recommend that some of the questions of the questionnaire be a bit more clearer to allow better responses.