

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

# Central African Republic

Level : National

Completed on: 25 August - 2020


---

## Final report

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

Overall response rate

(Based on the number of organizations that are part of the cluster)



Total	
65 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
46	30

International NGOs



Total	
111 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
19	21

National NGOs



Total	
43 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
14	6

UN Agencies



Total	
60 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
5	3

National Authorities



Total	
0 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
1	0

Donors



Total	
0 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
1	0

Other




Total	
0 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
6	0

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

Effective response rate

(Based on the average number of organizations participating to cluster meetings)



Total	
65 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
46	30

International NGOs



Total	
111 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
19	21

National NGOs



Total	
43 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
14	6

UN Agencies



Total	
60 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
5	3

National Authorities



Total	
0 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
1	0

Donors



Total	
0 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
1	0

Other



Total	
0 %	
Total number of partners	Number partners responding
6	0

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## Overall Performance

Score	Performance status
> 75 %	
51 % - 75 %	Good
26 % - 50 %	Satisfactory
< 26 %	Unsatisfactory
	Weak

1	Supporting service delivery	
1.1	Provide a platform to ensure that service delivery is driven by the agreed strategic priorities	Good
1.2	Developing mechanisms that eliminate duplication of service delivery	Good
	Informing strategic decision-making of the Humanitarian Coordinator/Humanitarian Country Team	
2		
2.1	Needs assessment and gap analysis	Satisfactory
2.2	Analysis to identify and address (emerging) gaps, obstacles, duplication, and cross-cutting issues	Good
2.3	Prioritizing on the basis of response analysis	Unsatisfactory
3	Planning and strategy development	
3.1	Developing sectoral plans, objectives and indicators that directly support HC/HCT strategic priorities	Satisfactory
3.2	Adherence to and application of standards and guidelines	Satisfactory
3.3	Clarifying funding needs, prioritization, and cluster contributions to HC funding needs	Satisfactory
4	Advocacy	
4.1	Identifying advocacy concerns that contribute to HC and HCT messaging and action	Good
4.2	Undertaking advocacy activities on behalf of cluster participants and affected people	Satisfactory
5	Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results	Satisfactory
6	Preparedness for recurrent disasters	Satisfactory
7	Accountability to affected populations	Satisfactory

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## Performance per function and review

### 1 Supporting service delivery

1.1 Provide a platform to ensure that service delivery is driven by the agreed strategic priorities

Good

List of partners regularly updated	100%
Adequate frequency of cluster meetings	100%
Attendance of cluster partners to cluster meetings	100%
Level of decision making power of staff attending cluster meetings	100%
Conditions for optimal participation of national and international stakeholders	75%
Writing of minutes of cluster meetings with action points	100%
Usefulness of cluster meetings for discussing needs, gaps and priorities	100%
Useful strategic decision taken within the cluster	75%
Attendance of cluster coordinator to HCT and ICC meetings	75%
Support/engagement of cluster with national coordination mechanisms	50%

Indicative characteristics of functions	Established, relevant coordination mechanism recognising national systems, subnational and co-lead aspects; stakeholders participating regularly and effectively; cluster coordinator active in inter-cluster and related meetings.
---	---

Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified

Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

1.2 Develop mechanisms to eliminate duplication of service delivery Good

Mapping of partner geographic presence and programme activities updated as needed		75%
Inputs of health partners into mapping of partner geographic presence and programme activities		100%
Involvement of partners into analysis of gaps and overlaps based on mapping		75%
Analysis of gaps and overlaps based on mapping used by partners for decision-making		75%
Indicative characteristics of functions	Cluster partner engagement in dynamic mapping of presence and capacity (4W); information sharing across clusters in line with joint Strategic Objectives.	
Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified		
Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)		

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## 2 Informing strategic decision-making of the Humanitarian Coordinator/Humanitarian Country Team

2.1	Needs assessment and gap analysis	Satisfactory
<hr/>		
	Use of cluster agreed tools and guidance for needs assessments	75%
	Involvement of partners in joint needs assessments	50%
	Sharing by partners of their assessment reports	87%
<hr/>		
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Use of assessment tools in accordance with agreed minimum standards, individual assessment / survey results shared and/or carried out jointly as appropriate.
<hr/>		
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
<hr/>		
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

2.2 Analysis to identify and address (emerging) gaps, obstacles, duplication, and cross-cutting issues Good

<hr/>	
Analyses of situations done together with cluster partners	100%
Analyses of situations identified risk	75%
Analyses of situations identified needs	75%
Analyses of situations identified gaps in response	75%
Analyses of situations identified capacity in response	75%
Analyses of situations identified constraints to respond	75%
Age (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	100%
Gender (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	100%
Diversity – other than age and gender- (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	75%
Human rights (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	75%
Protection, including gender-based violence (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	100%
Environment (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	75%
HIV/AIDS (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	75%
Disability (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses	50%
<hr/>	
Indicative characteristics of functions	Joint analysis for current and anticipated risks, needs, gaps and constraints; cross cutting issues addressed from outset.
<hr/>	
Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
<hr/>	
Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	



Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

2.3	Prioritizing on the basis of response analysis		Unsatisfactory
<hr/>			
Joint analyses supporting response planning		50%	
<hr/>			
Indicative characteristics of functions	Joint analysis supporting response planning and prioritisation in short and medium term.		
<hr/>			
Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified			
<hr/>			
Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)			

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## 3 Planning and strategy development

3.1 Developing sectoral plans, objectives and indicators that directly support HC/HCT strategic priorities Satisfactory

Strategic plan developed	100%
Partners involved in the development of strategic plan	75%
Sectoral strategic plan includes objectives, activities and indicators	100%
Sectoral strategic plan reviewed against host government strategy	0%
Age (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	100%
Gender (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	100%
Diversity – other than age and gender- (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	75%
Human rights (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	75%
Protection, including gender-based violence (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	100%
Environment (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	50%
HIV/AIDS (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	100%
Disability (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan	100%
Strategic plan shows synergies with other sectors	100%
Strategic plan used by partners for guiding response	75%
Deactivation criteria and phasing out strategy formulated together with partners	100%

Indicative characteristics of functions	Strategic plan based on identified priorities, shows synergies with other sectors against strategic objectives, addresses cross cutting issues, incorporates exit strategy discussion and is developed jointly with partners. Plan is updated regularly and guides response.
---	--

Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified

Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

3.2	Adherence to and application of standards and guidelines	Satisfactory
<hr/>		
	National and international standards and guidance identified and adapted as required	50%
	Technical standards and guidance agreed upon and used by partners	75%
<hr/>		
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Use of existing national standards and guidelines where possible. Standards and guidance are agreed to, adhered to and reported against.
<hr/>		
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
<hr/>		
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

3.3 Clarifying funding needs, prioritization, and cluster contributions to HC funding needs Satisfactory

---

Prioritization of proposals against the strategic plan jointly determined with partners based on agreed transparent criteria	75%
Prioritization of proposals against strategic plan fair to all partners	75%
Cluster supported and facilitated access to funding sources by partners	100%
Regular reporting on funding status	75%

---

Indicative characteristics of functions	Funding requirements determined with partners, allocation under jointly agreed criteria and prioritisation, status tracked and information shared.
---	--

---

Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified

---

Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## 4 Advocacy

4.1	Identifying advocacy concerns that contribute to HC and HCT messaging and action	Good
<hr/>		
	Issues requiring advocacy identified and discussed together with partners	100%
<hr/>		
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Concerns for advocacy identified with partners, including gaps, access, resource needs.
<hr/>		
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
<hr/>		
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

4.2	Undertaking advocacy activities on behalf of cluster participants and affected people	Satisfactory
<hr/>		
	Advocacy activities agreed upon and undertaken with partners	75%
<hr/>		
	Indicative characteristics of functions	Common advocacy campaign agreed and delivered across partners.
<hr/>		
	Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
<hr/>		
	Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## 5 Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results

Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results		Satisfactory
Programme monitoring formats agreed upon and used by cluster partners		75%
Information shared by partners reflected in cluster reports		75%
Regular publication of progress reports based on agreed indicators for monitoring humanitarian response		50%
Regular publication of cluster bulletins		50%
Changes in needs, risk and gaps highlighted in cluster reports and used for decision-making by partners		75%
Response and monitoring of the cluster taking into account the needs, contributions and capacities of women, girls, men and boys		75%
Indicative characteristics of functions	Use of monitoring tools in accordance with agreed minimum standards, regular report sharing, progress mapped against agreed strategic plan, any necessary corrections identified.	
Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified		
Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)		

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## 6 Preparedness for recurrent disasters

Preparedness for recurrent disasters

Satisfactory

National contingency plans identified and shared	100%
Partners contributed to initial or updated risk assessments and analysis	50%
Partners involved in development of preparedness plan	100%
Partners committed staff and/or resources towards preparedness plan	100%
Early warning reports shared with partners	100%
<hr/>	
Indicative characteristics of functions	National contingency plans identified and shared; risk assessment and analysis carried out, multisectoral where appropriate; readiness status enhanced; regular distribution of early warning reports.
<hr/>	
Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified	
<hr/>	
Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)	



Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

7 Accountability to affected populations

Accountability to affected populations		Satisfactory
Mechanisms to consult and involve population in decision-making agreed upon and applied by partners		75%
Mechanisms to receive, investigate and act upon complaints about assistance received agreed upon and applied by partners		75%
Indicative characteristics of functions	Accountability to affected population; agencies have investigated and, as appropriate, acted upon feedback received about the assistance provided.	
Constraints, unexpected circumstances and/or success factors and/or good practice identified		
Follow-up actions, with timeline and/or support required (when status is orange or red)		

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

### Answer distributions and comments

#### 0 General

##### Comments

RAS

RAS

Notre ONG participe aux différents réunions du cluster sante

RAS

N/A

Il est important d'être un membre effectif du groupe sectoriel santé

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## 1 Supporting service delivery

1.1 Provide a platform to ensure that service delivery is driven by the agreed strategic priorities

### 1.1.1 List of partners regularly updated

Coordinator

Has the list of cluster partners (including members and observers) been updated as needed?

*The list has been updated as often as needed*

### 1.1.2 Adequate frequency of cluster meetings


Coordinator

Are you satisfied with the frequency of cluster meetings?

*Completely satisfied*

Partners

Has the list of cluster partners (including members and observers) been updated as needed?



1.1.3 Attendance of cluster partners to cluster meetings


Coordinator

Have members and observers attended cluster meetings?

*Most attended, including major actors*

Partners


Are you satisfied with the frequency of cluster meetings?



1.1.4 Level of decision making power of staff attending cluster meetings

Partners

Have minutes been taken at cluster meetings, with action points?



1.1.5 Conditions for optimal participation of national and international stakeholders


Coordinator

Could members and observers participate fully in cluster meetings? (For example, did meetings occur in accessible locations? Were participants able to speak in a range of languages?).

*It was fairly easy to attend/participate in cluster meetings*

Partners

Have members and observers attended cluster meetings?



1.1.6 Writing of minutes of cluster meetings with action points

Coordinator

Have minutes been taken at cluster meetings, with action points?

*Minutes with action points have been taken at most meetings*

1.1.7 Usefulness of cluster meetings for discussing needs, gaps and priorities


Coordinator

Have cluster meetings been useful in helping partners to discuss needs, gaps and priorities?

*They have been very useful*

Partners

Have cluster meetings been useful in helping partners to discuss needs, gaps and priorities?



### 1.1.8 Useful strategic decision taken within the cluster


Coordinator

Has the cluster taken strategic decisions about the direction of the humanitarian response?

*Strategic decisions were taken and they were very useful*

Partners

Have you regularly attended humanitarian inter-sectoral coordination meetings, such as inter-cluster coordination meetings or country team meetings?



### 1.1.9 Attendance of cluster coordinator to HCT and ICC meetings

Coordinator

Have you regularly attended humanitarian inter-sectoral coordination meetings, such as inter-cluster coordination meetings or country team meetings?

*I have often attended meetings*

### 1.1.10 Support/engagement of cluster with national coordination mechanisms

Coordinator

Has the cluster supported or engaged with coordination mechanisms of national authorities in its sector?

*National coordination representatives have often participated in cluster meetings*

## 1.2 Develop mechanisms to eliminate duplication of service delivery

### 1.2.1 Mapping of partner geographic presence and programme activities updated as needed

Coordinator

Has the cluster regularly mapped what partners are doing and where they are working (via 3W and similar mechanisms)?

*Mapping was done and usually updated as often as required*

## 1.2.2 Inputs of health partners into mapping of partner geographic presence and programme activities


Coordinator

How many partners have helped to map programme activities and their geographical presence?

Most

Partners

Has the cluster regularly mapped what partners are doing and where they are working (via 3W and similar mechanisms)?



## 1.2.3 Involvement of partners into analysis of gaps and overlaps based on mapping

Partners

How many partners have helped to map programme activities and their geographical presence?





## 1.2.4 Analysis of gaps and overlaps based on mapping used by partners for decision-making


### Coordinator

Has the cluster used information on programme activities and partners' geographical presence to analyse capacity and complementarity (gaps and overlaps). Has that information influenced cluster partners' decisions?

*Analysis has been done and has been used by most partners for decision making*

### Partners

Has the cluster used information on programme activities and partners' geographical presence to analyse capacity and complementarity (gaps and overlaps). Has that information influenced cluster partners' decisions?



### Comments

RAS

le cluster santé sais que les organisations supportent les structures mais ceci ne leurs permet pas d'assurer le paquet minimal des activités

SmileAfrica internation ,avaient présente l'organisation dans une réunion de cluster , nous somme toujours en entente de l'évaluation de capacité opérationnel qui tarde à ce réalise Nous disposons une capacité RH Médicale prêt à répondre aux différents urgence ou GAP dans différents zone ( Haut -Mbomou l'organisation attends toujours des orientation du cluster pour le facilite obtention de financement pour intervenir dans zone ou il y à du GAP ,

Nous fournissons des efforts nécessaires nous sommes limités par l'accès aux financements sectoriels

N/A

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

### 2 Informing strategic decision-making of the Humanitarian Coordinator/Humanitarian Country Team

#### 2.1 Needs assessment and gap analysis

##### 2.1.1 Use of cluster agreed tools and guidance for needs assessments

Coordinator

Have cluster partners used jointly agreed sectoral needs assessment tools and guidance?

*The cluster has agreed tools and guidance and most partners have used them*

Partners

Have cluster partners used jointly agreed sectoral needs assessment tools and guidance?



2.1.2 Involvement of partners in joint needs assessments


Coordinator

Have cluster partners been involved in coordinated sectoral needs assessments and surveys?

Partners have been involved in most coordinated assessments

Partners

Have cluster partners been involved in coordinated sectoral needs assessments and surveys?



2.1.3 Sharing by partners of their assessment reports


Coordinator

Have cluster partners shared their own surveys and assessments with the cluster?

*Survey and assessment reports have been shared by all partners*

Partners

Have cluster partners shared their own surveys and assessments with the cluster?



2.2 Analysis to identify and address (emerging) gaps, obstacles, duplication, and cross-cutting issues

2.2.1 Analyses of situations done together with cluster partners


Coordinator

Have you done situation analyses together with cluster partners?

Yes

Partners

Have you done situation analyses together with cluster partners?



2.2.2 Analyses of situations identified risk


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

*Mostly identified*

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?



2.2.3 Analyses of situations identified needs


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

*Mostly identified*

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?



2.2.4 Analyses of situations identified gaps in response


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

*Mostly identified*

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?





2.2.5 Analyses of situations identified capacity in response


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

*Mostly identified*

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?



2.2.6 Analyses of situations identified constraints to respond


Coordinator

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?

*Mostly identified*

Partners

Have these analyses identified risks, needs, gaps, capacity to respond, and constraints?



2.2.7 Age (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

*Fully considered*

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.8 Gender (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

*Fully considered*

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.9 Diversity – other than age and gender- (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

*Partially considered*

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.10 Human rights (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

*Mostly considered*

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.11 Protection, including gender-based violence (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

*Fully considered*

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.12 Environment (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

*Not considered*

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?





2.2.13 HIV/AIDS (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

*Partially considered*

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?



2.2.14 Disability (cross-cutting issue) considered in analyses


Coordinator

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?

Partially considered

Partners

Have these analyses considered cross-cutting issues?




2.3 Prioritizing on the basis of response analysis

2.3.1 Joint analyses supporting response planning

Partners

Have these analyses supported response planning and prioritization?



## Comments

Nous somme en entente d'une évaluation de capacité de notre organisation Nos RH, médicale reste stationnaire par manques d'orientation , nous somme motive d'intervenir mais souvent on manques la validation ou l'autorisation du cluster pour effectue des mission d'évaluation besoin dans le zone non couvert .

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

### 3 Planning and strategy development

3.1 Developing sectoral plans, objectives and indicators that directly support HC/HCT strategic priorities

#### 3.1.1 Strategic plan developed

Coordinator

Has a cluster strategic plan been developed?

Yes

#### 3.1.2 Partners involved in the development of strategic plan


Coordinator

Did cluster partners help to develop the cluster's strategic plan?

*Cluster partners were fully involved in developing the plan*

Partners

Has a cluster strategic plan been developed?



#### 3.1.3 Sectoral strategic plan includes objectives, activities and indicators

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan include objectives, activities and indicators?

*Fully*

#### 3.1.4 Sectoral strategic plan reviewed against host government strategy

Coordinator

Has the cluster's strategic plan been reviewed against the host government's strategy?

*The host government lacks a strategy*

**3.1.5 Age (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Fully addressed***3.1.6 Gender (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Fully addressed***3.1.7 Diversity – other than age and gender- (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Mostly addressed***3.1.8 Human rights (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Mostly addressed***3.1.9 Protection, including gender-based violence (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Fully addressed***3.1.10 Environment (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Partially addressed***3.1.11 HIV/AIDS (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Fully addressed***3.1.12 Disability (cross-cutting issue) considered in strategic plan**

Coordinator

Does the cluster's strategic plan address cross cutting issues?*Fully addressed***3.1.13 Strategic plan shows synergies with other sectors**

Coordinator

Does the sectoral strategic plan show synergies with other sectors, in line with the strategic objectives of the HCT?*The cluster's strategic plan addresses synergies with other clusters fully*

### 3.1.14 Strategic plan used by partners for guiding response


Coordinator

During the last six months, how many partners have used the cluster's strategic plan to guide their response?

*Most*

Partners

Does the cluster's strategic plan include objectives, activities and indicators?



### 3.1.15 Deactivation criteria and phasing out strategy formulated together with partners

Coordinator

Have partners helped to identify deactivation criteria and a phase out strategy for the cluster?

*Deactivation criteria and a phase out strategy have been identified with all partners*

## 3.2 Adherence to and application of standards and guidelines

### 3.2.1 National and international standards and guidance identified and adapted as required

Coordinator

Have national and international standards and guidance been identified, adapted in consultation with national authorities (when necessary), and shared with partners?

*Standards and guidance have been identified and shared but have not been adapted*

3.2.2 Technical standards and guidance agreed upon and used by partners


Coordinator

Have technical standards and guidance been agreed and have partners used them?

*Technical standards and guidance have been agreed and all partners have used them*

Partners

Have national and international standards and guidance been identified, adapted in consultation with national authorities (when necessary), and shared with partners?




3.3 Clarifying funding needs, prioritization, and cluster contributions to HC funding needs

Coordinator

Have cluster partners participated in prioritizing proposals against the strategic plan? Were transparent criteria agreed?  
*Transparent criteria were agreed and partners were fully involved in prioritizing proposals*

Partners

Have cluster partners participated in prioritizing proposals against the strategic plan? Were transparent criteria agreed?





### 3.3.2 Prioritization of proposals against strategic plan fair to all partners


Coordinator

Were proposals prioritized against the strategic plan in a manner that was fair to all partners?

*Proposals were prioritized in a manner that was fair to the majority of partners*

Partners

Were proposals prioritized against the strategic plan in a manner that was fair to all partners?



### 3.3.3 Cluster supported and facilitated access to funding sources by partners

Coordinator

Has the cluster assisted partners to access funds (for example by including their proposals in appeals or applications to the Emergency Response Fund or Common Humanitarian Fund)?

*The cluster has given partners very good support*

3.3.4 Regular reporting on funding status


Coordinator

How often have you reported on the funding status of the cluster against needs?\*

*Almost as often as needed*

Partners

Has the cluster assisted partners to access funds (for example by including their proposals in appeals or applications to the Emergency Response Fund or Common Humanitarian Fund)?



Comments

RAS

SAI attends toujours l'évaluation de capacité du cluster participe aux différents évaluation et intervention .

Les décisions définitives sont partagées en individuel à chaque partenaire bénéficiaire. Ce pourrait être intéressant d'en faire un partage général à tous les partenaires.

J"aucune Suggestion car notre organisation n'a jamais été bénéficiaire de fonds humanitaire

n/a

# Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

## 4 Advocacy

### 4.1 Identifying advocacy concerns that contribute to HC and HCT messaging and action

#### 4.1.1 Issues requiring advocacy identified and discussed together with partners


Coordinator

Has the cluster identified issues requiring advocacy and discussed them with partners?

*The cluster has identified advocacy issues in consultation with all partners*

Partners

Has the cluster identified issues requiring advocacy and discussed them with partners?



### 4.2 Undertaking advocacy activities on behalf of cluster participants and affected people


4.2.1 Advocacy activities agreed upon and undertaken with partners

Coordinator

Have advocacy activities been agreed and undertaken together with partners?  
*Advocacy activities have been agreed and some partners have taken part in them*

Partners

Have advocacy activities been agreed and undertaken together with partners?



Comments

RAS

N/A

Ces réunions ont souvent été programmées dans de très bref délais. Il serait intéressant de les programmer bien à l'avance et informer les partenaires à temps.

RAS

N/A

Il s'agit souvent des groupes thématiques et les groupe d'orientation stratégique du cluster.

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

### 5 Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results

#### 5.1 Monitoring and reporting on implementation of cluster strategy and results

##### 5.1.1 Programme monitoring formats agreed upon and used by cluster partners

Coordinator

Have partners used programme monitoring and reporting formats that cluster partners have agreed?

*Standards have been agreed and most partners have reported regularly*

Partners

Is the information that partners send reflected in cluster bulletins and updates?



##### 5.1.2 Information shared by partners reflected in cluster reports

Coordinator

Is the information that partners send reflected in cluster bulletins and updates?

*Information has been shared and has been taken into account to a large extent*

##### 5.1.3 Regular publication of progress reports based on agreed indicators for monitoring humanitarian response

Coordinator

Has progress on programmes or the strategic plan been reported using agreed indicators for monitoring the humanitarian response? \*

*Less often than needed*

##### 5.1.4 Regular publication of cluster bulletins

Coordinator

Have cluster bulletins or updates been published?

*Less often than needed*

### 5.1.5 Changes in needs, risk and gaps highlighted in cluster reports and used for decision-making by partners


Coordinator

Have cluster bulletins or updates highlighted risks, gaps and changing needs, and has this information influenced decisions?

*Changes in needs, risks and gaps have been highlighted but have not been used for decision-making*

Partners

Have partners used programme monitoring and reporting formats that cluster partners have agreed?





## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

### 6 Preparedness for recurrent disasters

#### 6.1 Preparedness for recurrent disasters

##### 6.1.1 National contingency plans identified and shared

Coordinator

Have national preparedness or contingency plans (sectoral or multi-sectoral) been identified and shared?

*A national plan has been identified and the cluster has discussed it*

##### 6.1.2 Partners contributed to initial or updated risk assessments and analysis

Coordinator

Have cluster partners contributed to initial risk assessments and analysis (including multi sectoral), or updates?

*Risk assessment has been done and some partners have participated*

##### 6.1.3 Partners involved in development of preparedness plan


Coordinator

Have cluster partners helped to develop or update preparedness plans (including multisectoral ones) that address hazards and risks?

*Preparedness plans have been written/updated and most partners have participated*

Partners

Have national preparedness or contingency plans (sectoral or multi-sectoral) been identified and shared?





6.1.4 Partners committed staff and/or resources towards preparedness plan


Coordinator

Have cluster partners committed staff or resources that can be mobilized when preparedness plans are activated? Please choose only one of the following:

*Some partners have committed staff or resources that can be mobilized*

Partners

Have cluster partners contributed to initial risk assessments and analysis (including multi sectoral), or updates?



6.1.5 Early warning reports shared with partners

Coordinator

Have you regularly shared and discussed early warning reports with cluster partners?

*Early warning reports have always been shared*

Comments

RAS

N/A SAI attends l'évaluation de capacité promis par cluster

RAS

n/a

Mon organisation a mis à la disposition du pays des personnels qualifiés, matériels et les médicaments pour répondre à un besoin d'urgence dans la commune de Bohong dans le district de Bocaranga-koui

Les plans de contingence revue cette année ont concerné surtout la reponse aux épidémies et en l'occurence Ebola, Monkey Pox et HVE. Sachant que ce n'est pas tout les partenaires qui ont de l'expérience dans la riposte de ces genres d'évenement, juste certains ont été impliqués dans l'élaboration de certaines directives.

Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

7 Accountability to affected populations

7.1 Accountability to affected populations

7.1.1 Mechanisms to consult and involve population in decision-making agreed upon and applied by partners

Coordinator

Have cluster partners agreed and applied mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) for consulting and involving affected people in decision-making?\*

*Mechanisms for consulting/involving affected people have been agreed and some partners have applied them*

Partners

Have cluster partners agreed and applied mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) for consulting and involving affected people in decision-making?\*




Coordinator

Have cluster partners agreed and applied mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) to receive, investigate and act on complaints about assistance received?\*

*An investigation/complaint mechanism has been agreed and some partners have applied them*

Partners

Have cluster partners agreed and applied mechanisms (procedures, tools or methodologies) to receive, investigate and act on complaints about assistance received?\*



Comments

- RAS
- N/A
- RAS
- n/a

## Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring

### 8 Others

#### Comments

Globalement, la coordination du cluster santé fait bien son travail en collaboration avec les ONG internationales et nationales. Des solutions ont été trouvées aux problèmes posés malgré le contexte fragile du pays.

Nous aimerons que les ONG National puis être impliquer et avoir les même opportunité d'accès aux ressources pour la réponse que les ONG International en RCA

Il faut être pro-actif avec les partenaires et prendre en compte leurs préoccupation

SAI attends l'accompagnement du cluster sante pour utilise ces RH .

Améliorer les conditions dans lesquelles se tiennent les réunions. Autre fois verre d'eau et salle bien climatiser.

RAS

J'ajoute pour dire que le groupe sectoriel doit conjointement établir avec les acteurs en places, les besoins, GAP et avoir l'avis de l'acteur de mise ne oeuvre de départ (deja en place) avant donner le quitus a un autre acteur de mise en oeuvre de pouvoir s'aligner. Nous constatons que beaucoup d'acteurs se dupliquent dans des endroit ou un premier acteur est en place ressemblant a a mise en oeuvre des interventions doublons. Pendant que le besoins s'accroissent ailleurs. Que le coordonnateur du groupe sectoriel oriente assez clairement la dessus.

Nous tenons à signaler que durant ces 6 derniers mois, notre organisation n'a pas pu prendre part aux activités du groupe sectoriel, faute d'invitation.

Rien à signaler

Le suivi et l'évaluation des interventions humanitaires devra être une preoccupation systématique du Groupe sectoriel.

Au vu des activités des partenaires sur le terrain, un rappel de la transmission des rapports doit être fait régulièrement

Rien à signaler

Le groupe sectoriel est très important car il renforce la coordination entre les partenaires

Le groupe sectoriel a été très actif dans la réponse aux besoins des populations, avec la participation de l'ensemble des partenaires intervenant en RCA.

RAS